

JOURNAL OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

VOL. IV

WASHINGTON, D. C., SEPTEMBER 15, 1915

NO. 6

DEGREE OF RESEMBLANCE OF PARENTS AND OFFSPRING WITH RESPECT TO BIRTH AS TWINS FOR REGISTERED SHROPSHIRE SHEEP

By H. L. RIETZ, *Professor of Mathematical Statistics and Statistician*, and ELMER ROBERTS, *Instructor and First Assistant in Genetics, Agricultural Experiment Station, University of Illinois*

INTRODUCTION

In 1906 Rommel and Phillips¹ reported an investigation into the inheritance of the size of litter in the female line in Poland China sows based on data of the American Poland China Record. In 1907 there was published a statistical analysis of data from the records of Weldon² on heredity in the size of litters in mice.

The present investigation resembles somewhat the above investigations in that it is concerned with the question of the likeness of animals and their offspring with respect to being born as singles, twins, or triplets. We are concerned with the question whether and to what extent the offspring of parents born in twins and of grandparents born in twins are more likely to be twins than if these ancestors are born as singles.

Stated in another way, when we know that certain animals of a given breed or class and certain of their ancestors are born as twins or triplets, is there greater probability that the offspring will be twins or triplets than if the animals were born as singles?

Our problem may be made clearer by asking a question that covers only part of the problem: Does the subclass of sheep of a certain breed which consists of those born as twins tend to beget twins in larger proportion than the subclass that consists of those born as singles?

In the Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society of England³ there appears a statement of some of the possible causes that are favorable to the production of twins. It is there stated that "there is some reason to believe that twin lambs produce more twins than single lambs, and that the influence of heredity is brought to bear." The main purpose

¹Rommel, G. M., and Phillips, E. F. Inheritance in the female line of size of litter in Poland China sows. *In Biometrika*, v. 5, pt. 1/2, p. 203-205. 1906.

²Weldon, W. F. R. On heredity in mice from the records of the late W. F. R. Weldon. *In Biometrika*, v. 5, pt. 4, p. 436-449. 1907.

³Hape, Walter. Abortion, barrenness, and fertility in sheep; an abstract of records obtained for the year 1896-97. *In Jour. Roy. Agr. Soc. England*, s. 3, v. 10, pt. 2, p. 236. 1899.

of the present paper is to submit an analysis of data with a view to testing the foundations of such a belief when we are dealing with a class of pure-bred sheep.

To indicate birth as a single, in twins, or in triplets, we use the symbols "1" for single, "2" for twin, and "3" for triplet.

SOURCE OF DATA

The source of all our data is the American Shropshire Sheep Record. We have taken individuals with numbers from 325502 to 344869,¹ and have looked up their parents and grandparents with respect to the state of birth in singles, twins, and triplets.

All cases are omitted where either parent is imported, for the reason that the English records do not show whether an animal is born single, in twins, or in triplets.

For each of the offspring above mentioned, with American-born parents, we have made a card, showing whether this animal is born in our symbolism as a 1, 2, or 3 and showing in which of the states 1, 2, or 3 its parents and nonimported grandparents are born.

DISCRIMINATION IN FAVOR OF OR AGAINST RECORDING TWINS

In beginning this investigation we made some inquiry concerning possible discrimination in favor of or against the recording of twins, and found no reason to believe that there existed such discrimination. In the *Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society of England*,² we find that 46.84 per cent of Shropshire ewes involved in the data there analyzed have twins. This would mean that nearly 64 per cent of the lambs born are twins. But the percentage of lambs born as twins and triplets that we have found in fairly large classes of offspring does not seem to exceed 43, which is very different from 64 per cent. The difference seems to mean that either Shropshires in America are less fertile than in England or there is discrimination against twins in the matter of recording. This does not mean that the discrimination is made directly against twins, but probably in an indirect manner for some such reason as the better development of singles when the selections are made. However, for the main purpose of our problem, we are concerned with the elimination of discriminations where one would record twins or singles because certain ancestors are twins or singles—that is, we are concerned with the elimination of the kind of discrimination that would give an affirmative answer to the question, Do breeders tend to record an increased or decreased proportion of twins on account of the fact that certain parts of the ancestry consist of twins or of singles? Such discrimination is doubtless much less likely than a more general sort of discrimination that would lead to the recording of a larger or smaller proportion of twins than we find in a random sample.

¹ American Shropshire Sheep Record, v. 25, p. 1-1314. 1912.

² Heape, Walter. *Op. cit.*, p. 235.

There are some cases where owners of sires of large production have recorded from the same sire in two consecutive years all singles in one of the years and nearly all twins in the other year. Such cases make it appear that these few owners tend to select twins or singles in making records. The following section, "Repetition of sires and paternal grandparents," shows how we have treated such special cases.

REPETITION OF SIRES AND PATERNAL GRANDPARENTS

One sire may belong to a large number of recorded offspring, although this happens in relatively few cases; for example, there is a case in which one sire belongs to as many as 135 recorded offspring in the period for which we have examined offspring, and this fact is not to be neglected in making a critical examination of our data. In fact, a few such cases of large production with discrimination against either twins or singles might vitiate our results on the correlation of offspring with sires and grandsires. On account of the possibility of error from this source, we arrange a table, separating the offspring of each sire into singles and twins. From this arrangement of data it is fairly clear that certain cases of extreme percentages of singles or twins from a sire of large production should be excluded from data used in the calculations of statistical constants. We fix criteria somewhat arbitrarily as follows:

- (1) Cases are excluded where a sire has more than 10 recorded offspring that are all singles or all twins.
- (2) Cases are excluded where a sire has more than 20 recorded offspring if the difference in percentage of twins among this offspring and among the general population of offspring is more than three times the probable error of the difference.

While we exclude from our calculations the part of the data just mentioned, we give such data in Tables XV, XVI, XVII, XXXV, and XXXVI, in order that anyone who may consider the above criteria for exclusion too stringent or too lenient may have available for criticism such excluded data. Tables I, IX to XIV, XVIII, and XXVI to XXXII involve data about sires and do not include data concerning those cases of high-producing sires which are to be excluded from our calculations of statistical constants.

ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR SIRES, DAMS, AND OFFSPRING

Table I(A) shows the frequencies with which sires and dams born in states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, 2-2, 1-3, 3-1, 2-3, 3-2, or 3-3 beget recorded offspring born in states 1, 2, or 3. In this notation the first number of a pair refers to the sire and the second to the dam. Thus, 1-2 means that the sire is born as a single and the dam as a twin. To illustrate further the meaning of the table, consider the number 1,276 in the column marked "1" and in the row marked "2-1." This means that 1,276 offspring out of the total of 9,291 are singles with twin sires and single dams.

TABLE I(A).—Correlation between size of litter in which offspring are born and size of litter in which pairs of parents are born

Sires and dams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	2,018	1,026	15	3,059
1-2.....	1,430	996	10	2,436
2-1.....	1,276	800	12	2,088
2-2.....	867	661	22	1,550
3-1.....	24	29	53
3-2.....	3	14	17
3-3.....	21	20	3	44
3-2.....	10	21	2	33
3-3.....	9	2	11
Total.....	5,653	3,569	64	9,291

$$r = 0.0880 \pm 0.0070,$$

where r is the correlation coefficient between the sum of numbers in litters in which sire and dam are born and the number in corresponding litters in which offspring are born.

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When sire and dam are singles..... 1.3452 ± 0.0059 .
- (2) When sire is single and dam is twin..... 1.4171 ± 0.0067 .
- (3) When sire is twin and dam is single..... 1.3946 ± 0.0073 .
- (4) When sire is twin and dam is twin..... 1.4548 ± 0.0088 .
- (5) When either sire or dam is a triplet..... 1.6076 ± 0.030 .

Mean of all offspring..... 1.3979 ± 0.0035 .

Table I(B) simply exhibits percentages of singles, twins, and triplets among offspring that belong to different kinds of parents. It seems from this table that the percentage of twins among offspring of twin parents is greater than that among the offspring of single parents. From the means of arrays of offspring in Table I(A), we note that the differences are significant when judged by their probable errors. The correlation between the sum of the numbers of lambs born in the litters in which the two parents are born and the number in the litter in which the offspring is born, given by

$$r = 0.0880 \pm 0.0070,$$

is a significant positive correlation.

TABLE I(B).—Percentages of offspring born in states 1, 2, and 3, to correspond to states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of sire and dam

Sires and dams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	65.97	33.54	0.50
1-2.....	58.70	40.89	.41
2-1.....	61.11	38.31	.58
2-2.....	55.93	42.65	1.42
(4).....	42.40	54.43	3.17
Based on total.....	60.90	38.41	.69

* This row of percentages is obtained by grouping together all offspring where either parent is a triplet. Even when thus grouped, the number of offspring is small.

TABLES FOR DAMS AND OFFSPRING

Table II(A) shows the frequencies with which recorded offspring born in states 1, 2, and 3 have dams born in these states, or the frequencies with which dams born in states 1, 2, or 3 beget recorded offspring in states 1, 2, or 3.

TABLE II(A).—Correlation between size of litter in which offspring are born and size of litter in which dams are born

Dams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	3,784	2,046	27	5,857
2.....	2,586	1,950	40	4,556
3.....	58	58	3	119
Total.....	6,428	4,054	70	10,552

$$r = 0.0869 \pm 0.0065.$$

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When dams are singles. 1.3585 ± 0.0043 .
 (2) When dams are twins. 1.4412 ± 0.0051 .
 (3) When dams are triplets. 1.538 ± 0.034 .

Table II(B) simply converts into the form of percentages the frequencies given in Table II(A), so that the essential points of interest may be grasped more easily. From means of arrays of offspring in Table II(A), we note that there is a significant tendency for twin dams to produce a larger percentage of twins than is produced by single dams. The correlation is given by

$$r = 0.0869 \pm 0.0065.$$

TABLE II(B).—Percentages of offspring born in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the dams

Dams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	61.61	34.93	0.46
2.....	56.76	42.36	.88
3.....	48.7	48.7	2.5

ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR DAMS, MATERNAL GRANDPARENTS, AND OFFSPRING

Table III(A) represents the distribution of offspring, dams, and maternal granddams with respect to states 1, 2, and 3. To illustrate the meaning of the table, consider the number 1,393 in the column headed 1 and in the row marked 1-2. This means that there are 1,393 of the

total number of offspring that are singles with single dams and twin maternal granddams.

Dams and granddams are repeated so as to let all the recorded offspring appear. Since we do not know in which of the states 1, 2, or 3 imported sheep were born, we have to omit all cases of imported granddams.

TABLE III(A).—Correlation between offspring and maternal granddams^a

Dams and maternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	2,170	1,008	17	3,285
1-2.....	1,393	796	7	2,196
2-1.....	1,312	935	12	2,259
2-2.....	1,126	881	22	2,029
1-3.....	34	30	64
3-1.....	19	20	1	40
2-3.....	27	20	4	60
3-2.....	26	21	2	49
3-3.....	3	8	11
Total.....	6,110	3,818	65	9,993

^a This abbreviated heading will be used for tables that follow. The correlation refers to that between sizes of litters in which the classes are born.

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When dams and granddams are singles..... 1.3445 ± 0.0057 .
- (2) When the dams are singles and granddams twins... 1.3689 ± 0.0070 .
- (3) When the dams are twins and granddams are singles. 1.4245 ± 0.0071 .
- (4) When the dams are twins and granddams are twins. 1.4559 ± 0.0078 .
- (5) When either dam or granddam is a triplet..... 1.545 ± 0.037 .

Table III(B) exhibits the percentages of offspring born in states 1, 2, and 3 for dam and maternal granddams born in various states.

TABLE III(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, 2-2, of dams and maternal granddams

Dams and maternal granddams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	66.06	33.47	0.52
1-2.....	63.43	36.25	0.32
2-1.....	58.08	41.39	0.53
2-2.....	55.50	43.42	1.08
(a).....	48.66	48.21	3.13

^a See footnote, Table I(B).

It is to be noted from Table III(B) that the percentage of twins varies from 33.42 to 48.21, there being a gradual increase in percentage of twins when twins and triplets occur as dams and granddams. The greatest influence we should ascribe to the twin dam, as the occurrence of the twin granddam does not increase the percentage nearly as much as does that of the twin dam.

We hesitate to calculate a correlation coefficient from Table III(A), for the reason that we have no single number to mark each selected array and would not feel justified in giving an interpretation to a correlation coefficient obtained by taking the sum or mean value of the two numbers associated with each array. We might weight the dam and granddam, but such a system of weights would in the present state of knowledge be little better than a set of guesses.

We may note from the means of arrays and their probable errors that there can be no reasonable doubt about the significance of the difference in means when in one case the dams are singles and in the other they are twins. Further, it is to be observed that there appears to be a slight influence of twin maternal granddams in increasing mean values. Such influence is not, however, nearly so surely established as is the influence of the dams. In fact, the difference in the case of single and twin granddams with single dams is not quite three times the probable error.

Table IV shows the frequencies with which maternal granddams born in states 1, 2, or 3 beget recorded offspring born in states, 1, 2, or 3. There is a significant correlation given by

$$r = 0.0433 \pm 0.0067.$$

TABLE IV.—Correlation between offspring and maternal granddams

Maternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	3,501	2,053	301	5,854
2.....	2,545	1,603	31	4,179
3.....	64	67	4	135
Total.....	6,110	3,813	62	9,985

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When the maternal granddams are singles..... 1.3784 ± 0.0045 .
- (2) When the maternal granddams are twins..... 1.4120 ± 0.0032 .
- (3) When the maternal granddams are triplets..... 1.556 ± 0.053 .

Table V(A) represents the distribution of offspring, dams, and maternal granddams with respect to states 1, 2, and 3 in a manner analogous to that of Table III(A). Here again, when dams are twins, we note

from the percentages in Table V(B) that the percentage of twins increases, but there is no significant difference between the percentages of twins produced by single dams whose sires are singles and by single dams whose sires are twins. Further, there appears to be no significant difference between the percentages of twins produced by twin dams whose sires are singles and by twin dams whose sires are twins. Further, Table VI shows the frequencies with which maternal grandsires born in states 1, 2, or 3 beget offspring born in states 1, 2, or 3. From this table we obtain the correlation coefficient

$$r = 0.0042 \pm 0.0073,$$

which is so small that we are unable to assert the existence of any significant correlation.

TABLE V(A).—Correlation between offspring and maternal grandsires

Dams and maternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	1,751	929	2	2,682
1-2.....	1,159	596	13	1,768
2-1.....	1,159	894	17	2,070
2-2.....	818	596	19	1,433
1-3.....	38	27	1	66
3-1.....	20	32	3	55
2-3.....	25	21	1	47
3-2.....	21	18		39
3-3.....				
Total.....	4,991	3,113	56	8,160

Table V(A) does not include so many records as previous tables because imported grandsires can not be included.

TABLE V(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, of the dams and maternal grandsires

Dams and maternal grandsires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	65.29	34.64	0.07
1-2.....	65.55	33.71	.74
2-1.....	55.99	43.19	.62
2-2.....	57.08	41.59	1.33
(a).....	50.24	47.34	2.42
Based on total.....	61.16	38.15	.69

* See footnote, Table I (B).

TABLE VI.—Correlation between offspring and maternal grandsires

Maternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	2,930	1,855	22	4,807
2.....	1,998	1,210	32	3,240
3.....	63	48	2	113
Total	4,991	3,113	56	8,160

$$r=0.0042 \pm 0.0073.$$

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When maternal grandsires are singles 1.3950 ± 0.0059 .
 (2) When maternal grandsires are twins 1.3932 ± 0.0039 .
 (3) When maternal grandsires are triplets 1.460 ± 0.034 .

Tables VII(A) and VII(B) are correlation tables for maternal granddams and dams. These tables show correlation between dams and offspring just as Tables II(A) and II(B) do, the only difference being that the offspring is in this case limited to the females who themselves become dams.

TABLE VII(A).—Correlation between dams and maternal granddams

Maternal granddams.	Dams.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	2,754	1,813	37	4,604
2.....	1,810	1,570	45	3,425
3.....	54	45	9	108
Total	4,618	3,428	91	8,137

$$r=0.0770 \pm 0.0074.$$

TABLE VII(B).—Percentages of dams in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the maternal granddams

Maternal granddams.	Dams.		
	1	2	3
1.....	59.82	39.38	0.80
2.....	52.85	45.84	1.31
3.....	50.00	41.67	8.33
Based on total	50.75	42.13	1.12

The correlation coefficient computed from the data of Table VII (A) is

$$r = 0.0770 \pm 0.0074.$$

This correlation is significant when judged by its probable error, and it does not differ significantly from the correlation between dams and offspring as found from Table II (A).

Table VIII (A) is a correlation table for maternal grandsires and dams. This is the case of the correlation of sires with female offspring with respect to birth as singles, twins, or triplets. The correlation coefficient is

$$r = 0.0066 \pm 0.0075.$$

This result makes it somewhat probable that there is no correlation between sires and their female offspring with respect to the character in question. We shall investigate this matter further by the separation of offspring with regard to sex. (See Tables XXV and XXXI.)

TABLE VIII (A).—Correlation between dams and maternal grandsires

Maternal grandsires.	Dams.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1	2,255	1,665	45	3,965
2	1,514	1,145	31	2,690
3	57	48	105
Total	3,826	2,858	76	6,760

$$r = 0.0066 \pm 0.0075.$$

TABLE VIII (B).—Percentages of dams in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the maternal grandsires

Maternal grandsires.	Dams.		
	1	2	3
1	56.87	41.99	1.14
2	56.28	42.57	1.15
3	54.29	45.71
Based on total	56.60	42.28	1.12

ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR SIRES AND OFFSPRING

Tables IX (A) and IX (B) are analogous to Tables II (A) and II (B), where the dams are replaced by sires and where certain abnormal cases are excluded in accord with criteria given under "Repetition of sires and paternal grandparents."

TABLE IX(A).—Correlation between offspring and sires

Sires.	Offspring.			Total
	1	2	3	
1.....	3,472	2,051	25	5,548
2.....	2,164	1,479	57	3,680
3.....	22	39	2	63
Total.....	5,658	3,569	64	9,291

$$r = 0.0527 \pm 0.0070.$$

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When the sire is a single..... 1.3787 ± 0.0043
 (2) When the sire is a twin..... 1.4220 ± 0.0057
 (3) When the sire is a triplet..... 1.685 ± 0.015

TABLE IX(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the sires

Sires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	62.58	36.97	0.45
2.....	58.80	40.19	1.01
3.....	34.92	61.90	3.17
Based on total.....	60.90	38.41	0.69

While the same sort of tendencies are to be noted in the examination of these tables as with Tables II(A) and II(B), it seems that the tendency of twins to produce twins is less marked and that the correlation is given by

$$r = 0.0527 \pm 0.0070.$$

If we had excluded none of the offspring of large producing sires with an abnormal proportion of recorded twins or singles, we should have obtained

$$r = 0.0294 \pm 0.0066.$$

We think there is, however, little doubt that it would be improper to include for purposes of calculation at least most of the cases we have excluded.

We may well note the increase in means of arrays for twin and triplet sires.

In order to examine a little more critically into the question whether twin sires tend to have a larger number of twin offspring than do single sires, we have invented an index number for each sire. This number is

formed by marking with a "1" each of the offspring born single and with a "2" each one born in twins and next by finding the arithmetical mean of the numbers that belong to the offspring of any sire. Clearly, if all the offspring of a sire were singles, under this scheme his index number would be 1, while if all were born in twins, his index number would be 2.

Next, finding the arithmetical mean of the index numbers for all the sires, we have a sort of measure that enables us to compare the tendency of twins to produce twins and without giving weight to repetitions of the sires to correspond to each individual offspring.

It results that the following numbers are associated with sires born as singles and sires born twins.

For all sires born in singles, we have the mean value 1.3300 ± 0.0078 .

For all sires born in twins, we have the mean value 1.380 ± 0.010 .

These results show a larger relative production of twins by twin sires than by single sires. The difference, however, is only about four times the probable error of the difference. This surely means that it takes rather large numbers to establish the significance of the difference if such difference exists.

In making the application of this scheme of index numbers, we came upon an interesting form of frequency distribution that seems to occur but rarely. In these distributions the most frequent values are at the index numbers 1 and 2. The modal values are thus at or near the ends of the range. The following are the distributions:

Sires born in singles.		Sires born in twins.	
Index number.	Frequency.	Index number.	Frequency.
1.0	586	1.0	342
1.1	19	1.1	14
1.2	57	1.2	19
1.3	66	1.3	56
1.4	51	1.4	33
1.5	72	1.5	49
1.6	47	1.6	25
1.7	68	1.7	52
1.8	30	1.8	26
1.9	27	1.9	11
2.0	170	2.0	126
2.3	1	2.1	2
2.5	2	2.3	1
3.0	4	2.4	1
		2.5	2
		3.0	8

The large numbers at or near the ends of the range are to be accounted for, in part at least, by the fact that a considerable number of sires have only one recorded offspring. In such cases the index number must be 1 or 2. It can not have an intermediate value. Next, with only a few

offspring recorded, say, with two individual offspring recorded, the index number may fall only into one of the three classes, 1, 2, or 1.5, when we neglect the rare case of triplets. For index numbers approaching 1 but not equaling 1, a larger number of offspring is required; for example, it would require at least 7 offspring, 6 born in singles and 1 in twins, to enter the class 1.1, and it would require at least 6 twins and 1 single to enter class 1.9.

These facts seem to account for the smaller numbers contiguous to the ends of the range than are found at other intermediate points.

ANALYSIS OF DATA ON SIREs AND PATERNAL GRANDPARENTS

Tables X (A) and X (B) represent the distribution of paternal granddams and sires of offspring treated in Tables II and IX. The means of arrays show that the tendency to produce twins is increased by the use of twin sires instead of singles sires, but that this tendency is not changed significantly by the use of twin paternal granddams instead of single paternal granddams.

This result is further supported by obtaining from Table XI(A) for the correlation coefficient

$$r = -0.0100 \pm 0.0084.$$

We are thus unable to assert the existence of a significant correlation.

TABLE X(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal granddams

Sires and paternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	1, 574	937	15	1, 526
1-2.....	1, 269	742	8	2, 019
2-1.....	855	593	26	1, 474
2-2.....	894	573	7	1, 479
1-3.....	43	26	1	70
3-1.....	20	21	2	43
2-3.....	36	32	68
3-2.....	2	21	23
3-3.....
Total.....	4, 693	2, 950	59	7, 702

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When sire and paternal granddam are singles..... 1.3828 ± 0.0067 .
- (2) When sire is a single and paternal granddam is a twin. 1.3754 ± 0.0072 .
- (3) When sire is a twin and paternal granddam is a single. 1.4376 ± 0.0063 .
- (4) When sire is a twin and paternal granddam is a twin. 1.4003 ± 0.0082 .
- (5) When either sire or paternal granddam is a triplet... 1.520 ± 0.025 .

TABLE X(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of the sires and paternal granddams

Sires and paternal granddams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1	62.31	37.00	0.60
1-2	62.85	36.75	.40
2-1	58.00	40.23	1.77
2-2	60.45	39.08	.47
(a)	49.51	49.02	1.47
Based on total	60.93	38.30	.77

* See footnote, Table I(B).

TABLE XI(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal granddams

Paternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1	2,449	1,551	43	4,043
2	2,165	1,341	13	3,519
3	79	58	1	138
Total	4,693	2,950	59	7,702

$$r = -0.0100 \pm 0.0084.$$

TABLE XI(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of paternal granddams

Paternal granddams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1	60.57	38.36	1.07
2	61.49	38.08	.43
3	57.2	42.0	.5

Tables XII(A) and XII(B) represent the distribution of paternal grandsires and sires of the offspring treated in Tables II and IX. Here again we note that there is no significant difference whether paternal grandsires are singles or twins. This conclusion is further supported by obtaining from Table XIII(A) the correlation coefficient

$$r = -0.0147 \pm 0.0097.$$

We are thus unable to assert the existence of a significant correlation.

TABLE XII(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal grandsires

Sires and paternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	1,160	632	14	1,806
1-2.....	752	302	10	1,104
2-1.....	504	423	6	933
2-2.....	495	278	13	786
1-3.....	8	2		10
2-3.....	9	7	2	18
3-2.....	18	9	3	30
3-3.....			4	4
Total.....	2,956	1,717	45	4,718

Means of arrays:

- (1) When sire and paternal grandsire are singles 1.3627 ± 0.0077 .
 (2) When sire is single and paternal grandsire is a twin . 1.3460 ± 0.0099 .
 (3) When sire is a twin and paternal grandsire is a single. 1.4381 ± 0.017 .
 (4) When sire is a twin and paternal grandsire is a twin. 1.4021 ± 0.013 .
 (5) When either sire or paternal grandsire is a triplet ... 1.516 ± 0.054 .

TABLE XII(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of the sires and grandsires

Sires and paternal grandsires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	64.34	35.05	0.61
1-2.....	66.30	32.79	.91
2-1.....	56.80	42.60	.60
2-2.....	61.51	36.77	1.72
(3).....	56.45	35.49	8.66
Based on total.....	62.65	36.39	.96

* See note, Table II B.

TABLE XIII(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal grandsires

Paternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,733	1,062	19	2,814
2.....	1,197	620	23	1,840
3.....	26	15	3	44
Total.....	2,956	1,717	45	4,718

$$r = -0.0147 \pm 0.0097.$$

TABLE XIII(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the paternal grandfathers

Paternal grandfathers.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	61.58	37.74	0.68
2.....	64.35	34.41	1.24
3.....	59.09	34.09	6.82
Based on total.....	62.65	36.39	.96

Tables XIV(A) and XIV(B) represent the result of pooling the data on parents and offspring included in Tables II(A), VII(A), VIII(A), and IX(A).

TABLE XIV(A).—Correlation between offspring and parents, obtained by combining into one table the data of Tables II(A), VII(A), VIII(A), and IX(A)

Parents.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	12,265	7,575	134	19,974
2.....	8,974	6,124	153	14,351
3.....	191	190	14	395
Total.....	20,530	13,889	301	34,720

$$r = 0.0597 \pm 0.0036.$$

Means of arrays:

For parents..... 1.4361 ± 0.0020 .

For offspring..... 1.4174 ± 0.0020 .

TABLE XIV(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the parents

Parents.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	61.40	37.92	0.68
2.....	56.27	42.67	1.06
3.....	48.35	48.10	3.55
Based on total.....	59.13	40.00	.87

It may be noted that the percentage of recorded twins produced by twin parents is larger than the percentage of recorded twins produced by single parents. The correlation coefficient between sizes of litters in which parents and offspring are born is given by

$$r = 0.0597 \pm 0.0036.$$

It may also be noted that, on the whole, there is a slightly and significantly larger percentage of twins among parents than among recorded offspring. It must be remembered in this connection that the record of any parent is repeated a number of times equal to the number of the recorded offspring of the parent. Otherwise, one might be led to argue in a very obvious manner that if twinning is inherited and if there is no selection against twins, the percentage of twins in the offspring would exceed the percentage among parents, but such an argument is not valid when we repeat the parent, as above explained.

DATA NOT INCLUDED IN FOREGOING TABLES AND CALCULATIONS

Tables XV, XVI, and XVII exhibit data excluded from calculations of statistical constants because of the abnormal proportion of twins or singles recorded among the offspring of a single sire. The reasons and criteria for such exclusion are given under "Repetition of sires and paternal grandparents."

TABLE XV.—Size of litter in which offspring are born and size of litter in which pairs of parents are born (from data excluded in making Tables I and IX)

Sires and dams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	245	145		390
1-2.....	150	100	3	313
2-1.....	238	61		391
2-2.....	133	88	3	224
1-3.....	3	7		10
3-1.....				
2-3.....	1	2		3
3-2.....				
3-3.....				
Total.....	770	465	6	1,241

TABLE XVI.—Size of litters in which offspring and sires and paternal granddams are born (from data excluded in making Tables X and XI)

Sires and paternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	197	168		365
1-2.....	64			94
2-1.....	113	83	2	198
2-2.....	211	58		269
Total.....	585	309	2	896

TABLE XVII.—*Sizes of litters in which offspring and sires and paternal grandsires are born (from data excluded in making Tables XII and XIII)*

Sires and paternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	51	28		79
1-2.....	70	58		128
2-1.....	111	35		146
2-2.....	89	99		188
1-3.....	15			15
Total.....	336	220		556

DATA ON ANIMALS WITH A "COMPLETE AMERICAN" PEDIGREE

What we shall mean here by a "complete American" pedigree is a case where the offspring have parents and grandparents American-born, so that we have records as to whether these ancestors were born in states 1, 2, or 3. Statements are frequently made about the effects of climate on fertility; therefore we have thought that it would be of some interest to select from the total group of offspring that subgroup whose grandparents as well as parents are American-born. This requires that three generations at least be American born.¹ Further, the total subgroup of breeders who import a great deal may form a class that differs significantly in the handling of sheep from the totality of breeders who do not import.

This restriction to cases of "complete American" pedigree has brought our total number of cases down to only a little over one-third of the number treated in Tables I to XIV, but it seems worth while to present the tables showing corresponding frequencies of those with "complete American" pedigrees and to make some comparisons.

Any pedigree that has any grandparent not American-born is called an "incomplete" pedigree. It is, of course, incomplete in that we have no record as to whether a grandparent was born single, in twins, or in triplets.

TABLES FOR SIRES, DAMS, AND OFFSPRING WITH A "COMPLETE AMERICAN" PEDIGREE

Table XVIII(A) corresponds to Table I(A). Here we find for the correlation between the sum of numbers in litters in which sire and dam are born and the number in corresponding litters in which offspring are born, $r = 0.129 \pm 0.011$.

This coefficient is greater than that for the total population by 0.041, and this difference is just about three times its probable error. Hence, it is doubtful whether the difference is significant.

¹Wallace, A. R. Darwinism . . . p. 154. London, 1896.

TABLE XVIII(A).—Correlation between offspring and pairs of parents with "complete American" pedigree

Sires and dams,	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	825	368	8	1,201
1-2.....	562	357	6	925
2-1.....	492	282	1	775
2-2.....	338	275	13	626
1-3.....	3	14		14
3-1.....	5	7		12
2-3.....	6	8	3	17
3-2.....	3	3	3	9
3-3.....				
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

$$r = 0.129 \pm 0.011,$$

where r is the correlation coefficient between the sum of numbers in litters in which sire and dam are born and the number in corresponding litter in which offspring are born.

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When sire and dam are singles..... 1.3107 ± 0.0004 .
- (2) When sire is a single and dam is a twin..... 1.399 ± 0.011 .
- (3) When sire is a twin and dam is a single..... 1.306 ± 0.012 .
- (4) When sire is a twin and dam is a twin..... 1.481 ± 0.014 .
- (5) When either sire or dam is a triplet..... 1.788 ± 0.058 .

TABLE XVIII(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of the sires and dams

Sires and dams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	68.69	30.64	0.67
1-2.....	60.75	38.59	.66
2-1.....	63.48	36.39	.13
2-2.....	53.99	43.93	2.08
(2).....	32.69	55.77	11.54
Based on total.....	62.42	36.63	.95

^a See footnote, Table I(B).

There is a slightly smaller proportion of twins among offspring of the "complete American" born than among the offspring of the total population, but the difference is only about three times its probable error, and there is some question as to its significance. The results obtained from means of arrays are not significantly different whether found from Tables I or XVIII.

TABLES FOR DAMS AND OFFSPRING WITH "COMPLETE AMERICAN" PEDIGREE

Table XIX(A) corresponds to Table II(A) but is limited to data from American-born grandparents. Here we have for the correlation of size of litter in which dams and offspring are born,

$$r = 0.128 \pm 0.010.$$

This coefficient is greater than that for the total population by 0.041, and this difference is slightly more than three times its probable error, but it still is far from certain that the difference is not to be attributed to random sampling.

TABLE XIX(A).—Correlation between offspring and dams with "complete American" pedigree

Dams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,505	709	9	2,223
2.....	985	704	24	1,713
3.....	10	21	3	34
Total.....	2,500	1,434	36	3,970

Means:

Dams..... 1.4486 ± 0.0035 .Offspring..... 1.3793 ± 0.0054 .

Means of arrays:

(1) When dam is a single..... 1.3270 ± 0.0068 .(2) When dam is a twin..... 1.4390 ± 0.0082 .(3) When dam is a triplet..... 1.794 ± 0.067 .

$$r = 0.128 \pm 0.010.$$

TABLE XIX(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1, 2, and 3 of the dams

Dams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	67.70	31.89	0.41
2.....	57.50	41.10	1.40
3.....	29.41	61.76	8.83
Based on total.....	62.97	36.12	.91

TABLES FOR DAMS AND MATERNAL GRANDPARENTS WITH A "COMPLETE AMERICAN" PEDIGREE

Tables XX to XXV correspond to Tables III to VIII, and the results to be drawn from the tables based on data of the "complete American" pedigrees do not differ essentially from those drawn from the total population. Here again, as with the total population, there appears to be a slight correlation between size of litter in which maternal granddams and offspring are born, but we are unable to make a similar assertion about maternal grandsires and offspring.

TABLE XX(A).—Correlation between offspring and maternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Dams and maternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1	946	432	6	1,384
1-2	543	271	3	817
2-1	530	362	9	901
2-2	450	333	15	798
1-3	16	6		22
3-1	4	6	2	12
2-3	5	9		14
3-2	5	10	1	16
3-3	1	5		6
Total	2,500	1,434	36	3,970

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When the dam and granddam are singles. 1.3208 ± 0.0086.
- (2) When the dam is a single and the granddam a twin. 1.339 ± 0.017.
- (3) When the dam is a twin and the granddam a single. 1.412 ± 0.011.
- (4) When the dam is a twin and the granddam a twin. 1.455 ± 0.013.
- (5) When either dam or granddam is a triplet. 1.600 ± 0.046.

TABLE XX(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, 2-2, ... of the dams and maternal granddams

Dams and maternal granddams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1	68.35	31.21	0.44
1-2	66.49	33.17	.37
2-1	58.82	40.18	1.00
2-2	56.39	41.73	1.88
(a)	44.28	51.43	4.29
Based on totals	62.97	36.12	.91

^a See footnote, Table I (B).

TABLE XXI(A).—Correlation between offspring and maternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Dams and maternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1	900	431	2	1,333
1-2	582	258	6	846
2-1	579	424	13	1,016
2-2	383	277	11	671
1-3	23	20	1	44
3-1	7	15	3	25
2-3	23	3		26
3-2	3	6		9
3-3				
Total	2,500	1,434	36	3,970

TABLE XXI(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, ... of the dams and maternal grandsires

Dams and maternal grandsires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1	67.52	32.33	0.15
1-2	68.79	30.59	.71
2-1	56.99	41.73	1.28
2-2	57.08	41.28	1.64
(^a)	53.85	42.31	3.84
Based on total	62.97	36.12	.91

^a See footnote, Table I (B).

TABLE XXII.—Correlation between offspring and maternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Maternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1	1,480	800	17	2,297
2	998	614	19	1,631
3	22	20		42
Total	2,500	1,434	36	3,970

$$r = 0.040 \pm 0.011.$$

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When maternal granddams are singles. 1.3631 \pm 0.0070.
 (2) When maternal granddams are twins. 1.3898 \pm 0.0087.
 (3) When maternal granddams are triplets. 1.516 \pm 0.052.

TABLE XXIII.—Correlation between offspring and maternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Maternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,486	870	18	2,374
2.....	968	541	17	1,526
3.....	46	23	1	70
Total.....	2,500	1,434	36	3,970

$$r = -0.0068 \pm 0.011.$$

Means of arrays of offspring:

- (1) When maternal grandsires are singles..... 1.3816 ± 0.0069 .
 (2) When maternal grandsires are twins..... 1.3758 ± 0.0090 .
 (3) When maternal grandsires are triplets..... 1.357 ± 0.041 .

TABLE XXIV(A).—Correlation between dams and maternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Maternal granddams.	Dams.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,175	725	11	1,911
2.....	673	627	11	1,311
3.....	18	11	4	33
Total.....	1,866	1,363	26	3,255

$$r = 0.103 \pm 0.012.$$

TABLE XXIV(B).—Percentages of dams in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the maternal granddams

Maternal granddams.	Dams.		
	1	2	3
1.....	61.49	37.94	0.57
2.....	51.33	47.63	1.84
3.....	54.55	33.33	12.12
Based on total.....	57.33	41.87	.80

TABLE XXV(A).—Correlation between dams and maternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Maternal grandsires.	Dams.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1, 111	808	19	1, 938
2.....	718	531	7	1, 256
3.....	37	24	61
Total.....	1, 866	1, 363	26	3, 255

$$r = 0.007 \pm 0.012.$$

TABLE XXV(B).—Percentages of dams in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the grandsires

Grandsires.	Dams.		
	1	2	3
1.....	57.33	41.60	0.98
2.....	57.17	42.28	.55
3.....	60.60	39.34
Based on total.....	57.33	41.87	.80

For the "complete American" subclass (Table XXV) we are also unable to assert a significant correlation between maternal grandsire and dams. This fact makes it appear still a little more probable that sex is in some way connected with the tendency of twins to produce twins. [Compare Tables VIII(A) and XXV(A).] This point will be further treated under "Analysis of data on parents and offspring separated with regard to sex."

TABLES FOR SIRES AND OFFSPRING WITH A "COMPLETE AMERICAN" PEDIGREE

While from the data of Table XXVI(A) the correlation coefficient, $r = 0.072 \pm 0.011$, is a little larger than for the total population, the difference may be ascribed to random sampling. Just as in the "Analysis of data for sires and offspring" we have found mean values of an index number for sires of different classes, so here we have the following values for mean index numbers for animals of "complete American" pedigree:

For sires born in singles ("complete American"), we have. 1. 296 \pm 0.012.
 For sires born in twins ("complete American"), we have. 1. 375 \pm 0.017.
 For remaining sires born in singles, we have..... 1. 353 \pm 0.010.
 For remaining sires born in twins, we have..... 1. 384 \pm 0.014.

TABLE XXVI(A).—Correlation between offspring and sires with "complete American" pedigree

Sires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,300	736	15	2,141
2.....	836	565	17	1,418
3.....	8	10	2	20
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

$$r = 0.072 \pm 0.011$$

Means of arrays:

- (1) When sires are singles..... 1.3578 ± 0.0072 .
 (2) When sires are twins..... 1.4224 ± 0.0093 .
 (3) When sires are triplets..... 1.700 ± 0.095 .

TABLE XXVI(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1, 2, and 3 of the sires with "complete American" pedigree

Sires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	64.92	34.38	0.70
2.....	58.96	39.84	1.20
3.....	40.0	50.0	10.0
Based on total.....	62.42	36.63	.95

All our results with index numbers show consistently a larger relative production of twins by twin sires than by single sires. It may be noted, however, that in the case of the subclass remaining after those of "complete American" pedigree are removed, the difference is not three times its probable error. This surely means that it requires very large numbers to establish with any considerable certainty the significance of the difference.

TABLES FOR SIRES AND PATERNAL GRANDPARENTS

Tables XXVII to XXX for "complete American" pedigrees correspond to Tables X to XIII for the total population. Here again, as with the total population, we are unable to assert the existence of a significant correlation between paternal grandparents and offspring with respect to being born in singles or in twins.

TABLE XXVII(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Sires and paternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	750	415	8	1,173
1-2.....	619	303	6	928
2-1.....	401	297	11	709
2-2.....	421	205	6	692
1-3.....	21	19	1	41
3-1.....	7	5	2	14
2-3.....	14	2		16
3-2.....	1	5		6
3-3.....				
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

TABLE XXVII(B).—Percentages of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of the sires and paternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Sires and paternal granddams.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	63.94	35.38	0.68
1-2.....	66.70	32.65	.65
2-1.....	56.56	41.89	1.55
2-2.....	60.84	38.30	.86
(a).....	55.84	40.26	3.89
Based on total.....	62.42	36.63	.95

^a See footnote, Table I(B).

TABLE XXVIII.—Correlation between offspring and paternal granddams with "complete American" pedigree

Paternal granddams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,158	717	21	1,896
2.....	1,041	573	12	1,626
3.....	35	21	1	57
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

$$r = -0.028 \pm 0.011.$$

TABLE XXIX(A).—Correlation between offspring and paternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Sires and paternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	369	466	5	1,340
1-2.....	530	270	10	800
2-1.....	450	347	6	798
2-2.....	369	216	8	593
3-1.....	1	0	2	1
3-2.....	8	0	2	16
3-3.....	17	7	3	27
3-3.....		4		4
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

TABLE XXIX(B).—Percentage of offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1-1, 1-2, 2-1, . . . of the sires and paternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Sires and paternal grandsires.	Offspring.		
	1	2	3
1-1.....	64.85	34.78	0.37
1-2.....	65.00	33.75	1.25
2-1.....	56.39	42.80	.75
2-2.....	62.23	36.42	1.35
(a).....	54.17	35.42	10.41
Based on total.....	62.42	36.63	.95

° See note, Table I(B).

TABLE XXX.—Correlation between offspring and paternal grandsires with "complete American" pedigree

Paternal grandsires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,327	814	13	2,154
2.....	880	480	16	1,393
3.....	18	11	3	32
Total.....	2,234	1,311	34	3,579

$$r = -0.0039 \pm 0.011.$$

Tables XXXV and XXXVI exhibit data of the "complete American" class excluded under the criteria of "Repetition of sires and paternal grandparents."

ANALYSIS OF DATA ON PARENTS AND OFFSPRING SEPARATED WITH REGARD TO SEX

Table XXXI exhibits data for the correlation of size of litters in which sires are born and sizes of litters in which their female offspring are born. The importance of investigating the tendency of twin sires to produce a larger percentage of twins among female offspring than single sires produce among female offspring is suggested by the fact that, from the data of Tables VIII and XXV, we were unable to assert the existence of a significant correlation.

TABLE XXXI(A).—Correlation between female offspring and sires

Sires.	Female offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	2,373	1,388	11	3,772
2.....	1,440	930	19	2,389
3.....	15	27	1	43
Total.....	3,828	2,345	31	6,204

$$r=0.0410 \pm 0.0086.$$

Means:

For sires..... 1.3987 ± 0.0044 .For female offspring..... 1.3880 ± 0.0043 .

TABLE XXXI(B).—Percentages of female offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the sires

Sires.	Female offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	62.91	36.80	0.29
2.....	60.28	38.93	.79
3.....	34.88	62.79	2.33
Based on total.....	61.70	37.80	.50

From Table XXXI(A) we obtain for the correlation

$$r=0.0410 \pm 0.0086.$$

While this is a very small correlation, it is 4.6 times its probable error. While it is by no means finally established, it seems somewhat more probable that the values in Tables VIII and XXV are accidentally small under random sampling than that the correlation just given is insignificant. What is clear is that if any correlation exists, such correlation is so small that it requires immense numbers to establish its significance.

In order to eliminate the possible effects of the repetitions of grandsires, we shall investigate further the cases of maternal grandsires and dams by means of index numbers, such as are explained in the sections on "Analysis of data for sires and offspring" and "Tables for sires and offspring." The results with such index numbers may be stated as follows:

For maternal grandsires born in singles, we have..... 1.4462 ± 0.0073 .

For maternal grandsires born in twins, we have..... 1.4574 ± 0.0003 .

While the mean index number for twin maternal grandsires is thus a little larger than for single maternal grandsires, the difference is not large enough to enable us to assert its significance, when compared to fluctuations in sampling.

Tables XXXII to XXXIV are correlation tables for sizes of litters in which sires and male offspring, dams and male offspring, and dams and female offspring are born. In each of these cases we find significant correlations. The differences of these correlations are so small that we are unable to assert that the differences are significant. The values of these correlation coefficients are:

For sires and male offspring..... $r=0.073 \pm 0.012$.

For dams and male offspring..... $r=0.075 \pm 0.011$.

For dams and female offspring..... $r=0.0925 \pm 0.0080$.

TABLE XXXII(A).—Correlation between male offspring and sires

Sires.	Male offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,099	663	14	1,776
2.....	724	549	18	1,291
3.....	7	12	1	20
Total.....	1,830	1,224	33	3,087

Means:

For sires..... 1.4310 ± 0.0066 .

For male offspring..... 1.4180 ± 0.0067 .

TABLE XXXII(B).—Percentages of the male offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to the states 1, 2, and 3 of the sires

Sires.	Male offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	61.88	37.33	0.79
2.....	56.09	42.53	1.38
3.....	35.00	60.00	5.00
Based on total.....	59.28	39.65	1.07

TABLE XXXIII(A).—Correlation between male offspring and dams

Dams.	Male offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	1,195	704	12	1,911
2.....	861	657	19	1,537
3.....	26	20	3	49
Total.....	2,082	1,381	34	3,497

TABLE XXXIII(B).—Percentages of male offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the dams

Dams.	Male offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	62.53	36.84	0.63
2.....	56.02	42.75	1.23
3.....	53.06	40.82	6.12

TABLE XXXIV(A).—Correlation between female offspring and dams

Dams.	Female offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	2,589	1,342	15	3,946
2.....	1,725	1,273	21	3,019
3.....	32	38	70
Total.....	4,346	2,653	36	7,035

TABLE XXXIV(B).—Percentages of female offspring in states 1, 2, and 3 to correspond to states 1, 2, and 3 of the dams

Dams.	Female offspring.		
	1	2	3
1.....	65.61	34.01	0.38
2.....	57.14	42.17	.69
3.....	45.71	54.29
Based on total.....	61.78	37.71	.51

Tables XXXV and XXXVI exhibit data excluded, by the criteria of the section on "Repetition of sires and paternal grandparents," from the "complete American" subgroup.

TABLE XXXV.—*Sizes of litters in which offspring and pairs of parents are born, "complete American" (from data excluded in making Table XVIII)*

Sires and dams.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1-1.....	63			63
1-2.....	18			18
2-1.....	120	52		172
2-2.....	64	69		135
1-3.....			2	
3-1.....				
2-3.....	1	2		3
3-2.....				
3-3.....				
Total.....	266	123	2	391

TABLE XXXVI.—*Sizes of litters in which offspring and sires are born (from data excluded in making Table XXVI)*

Sires.	Offspring.			Total.
	1	2	3	
1.....	81			81
2.....	185	123	2	310
3.....				
Total.....	266	123	2	391

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

(1) For the class of sheep considered in this investigation we find that, in general, the twin parents give a larger percentage of twins among offspring than do parents born as singles. See Tables I(B), II(B), VII(B), IX(B), and XIV(B).

(2) The small positive correlation coefficient between the sum of numbers in litters in which the two parents are born and the size of litter in which the corresponding offspring are born is significant. The value of the coefficient is in each case more than 11 times the probable error. See results derived from Tables I(A) and XVIII(A).

(3) The small positive correlation coefficients between sizes of litters in which dams are born and sizes of litters in which their offspring are born are decidedly significant when judged by probable errors. See

results derived from Tables II(A), VII(A), XXIV(A), XXXIII(A), and XXXIV(A).

(4) There appears to be a small but significant correlation between sizes of litters in which sires are born and sizes of litters in which their offspring are born. It seems probable that this correlation should be attributed almost entirely, if **not** wholly, to correlation between sires and male offspring. The correlations seem to differ with the sexes. The correlation coefficients for sires and female offspring are so small that their significance is much in doubt even with the large numbers we have used. See results derived from Tables VIII(A), IX(A), XXV(A), XXVI(A), XXXI(A), and XXXII(A).

(5) There appears to be a significant correlation between maternal granddams and offspring, but we are unable to assert any significant correlation for the other grandparents and offspring. It would surely require immense numbers to establish the significance of such correlation, if it exists.

(6) The means of arrays show the small but general tendency of either or both twin parents and twin maternal granddams to produce a larger proportion of twins than are produced when the corresponding individuals in the ancestry are singles.

(7) As it requires large numbers to establish the significance of the differences which we have found, it should not be surprising if within a flock of fair size, say, a flock of 100, one may in some cases get even a larger percentage of twins from single parents than from twin parents. Such fluctuations should be expected to occur occasionally in taking a random sample of no more than 100 individuals, even if in the long run twins tend to produce a somewhat higher percentage of twins than do singles.

SOIL, PROTOZOA¹

By GEORGE P. KOCH,

Research Fellow, the New Jersey College for the Benefit of Agriculture and Mechanic Arts

I.—METHOD FOR COUNTING PROTOZOA

INTRODUCTION

Repeated claims that soil protozoa are detrimental to other soil micro-organisms have led soil biologists to begin the study of soil protozoology in order to determine, if possible, to what extent these organisms influence soil fertility.²

In order to facilitate the counting and examination of protozoa, several investigators have cultivated these organisms in artificial culture solutions. Goodey (7) studied the protozoa which were developed in soil extract and dilute hay infusion, but made no attempt to count them. Likewise Martin and Lewin (13) made careful examinations of the organisms which they cultivated in an infusion of horse manure. Rahn (15) employed peptone and sugar solutions, thus allowing the organisms to develop in a culture solution of 1 to 100 dilution for from 7 to 14 days, after which an aliquot of the solution was examined for protozoa. Killer (10) used the dilution method in order to determine the approximate numbers of soil protozoa that were developed in Giltay's, mannite, and peptone solutions. Francé (6) studied soil protozoa developed in artificial culture solutions and enumerated the organisms from the various soils examined by mixing an average sample of the solution with water and then examining the resulting solution drop by drop. Likewise Cauda and Sangiorgi (2) employed Giltay's, Omelianski's, Hiltner's, peptone, and mannite solutions and determined the numbers of organisms developed by dilution and direct count. In order to find the best culture solution for protozoan development, Cunningham and Löhnis (4) grew these organisms in many different solutions, and later Cunningham employed soil extract and blood-meal extract. The last-named investigator (3) also used the dilution method for the enumeration of the organisms. The results obtained with the dilution method by these investigators have been somewhat irregular. The irregularity in the results secured by the application of this method is shown quite clearly by Cunningham (3). The same irregularity has been experienced by the writer, who found the error to be several hundred per cent in many cases.

¹ Contribution from the Laboratories of Biology, Soil Bacteriology, and Soil Chemistry of the New Jersey Agricultural College and Experiment Station.

² The writer wishes to take this opportunity to express his appreciation to Dr. J. C. Lipman for many suggestions and the information which he has supplied; likewise to Dr. F. E. Chidester for the services rendered throughout the study of this series of problems.

IMPROVED LOOP METHOD

Because of the inadequacy of the methods heretofore used, the loop method which was employed by Müller (14) for counting bacteria was improved upon. The improved method proved much more accurate and required but a very small amount of manipulation.

A platinum wire was bent into a permanent loop. The quantity of solution that could be transferred by means of this loop was then determined by carefully weighing films of the culture solution on a sensitive analytical balance. The average of several weights was taken and the quantity of liquid that could be transferred by the loop was calculated into cubic centimeters. To facilitate the counting of the organisms on the slide, a quarter of an inch square in the center of an ordinary glass slide was carefully ruled into 60 to 80 small squares by means of a sharp quartz crystal. A film of the culture solution containing the living protozoa was then transferred to the ruled area on the clean glass slide. In this manner the living protozoa were counted with the low power of the microscope, and from the number of organisms transferred in the loop the numbers per cubic centimeter were calculated.

The platinum loop was slightly bent, making an angle of 30° to 35° , so as to facilitate touching the slide in the same manner at each transfer and to prevent the draining of the solution which would adhere to the support of the loop.

In making the counts the platinum loop was first sterilized in a flame, and every precaution ordinarily observed in bacteriological work was taken. The protozoa of not less than three loops of culture solution were counted, and the average of the several counts was recorded. It was found by experience that not more than 300 to 400 protozoa could be counted in a film which had been transferred by a loop of 0.0020 to 0.0025 gm. transference capacity, as the culture solution on the slide would be evaporated before all the organisms could be counted. Therefore, two platinum loops, one of 0.0020 to 0.0025 gm. transference capacity and the other of 0.001 gm., were employed. When the number of organisms became so great that they could not all be counted in the large film, the loop of smaller capacity was employed. Where the organisms numbered more than 300 for the small loop, the film of culture solution containing the protozoa was transferred to a specially prepared slide. This glass slide has a square cell in the center (3.7 by 3.7 mm.), the capacity of which is about 0.002 gm. of water at 22° C. (when the readings are made the cell is almost completely filled with culture solution, thus reducing the possibility of error due to capillarity). The surface within the cell is accurately ruled into 25 large divisions, and one of the large divisions again ruled into 25 small fields. The film of solution containing the organisms was carefully spread over the entire surface and a cover slip laid over the cell, thus preventing evaporation. The organisms

in several of the large fields were counted, and the average of these figures was multiplied by 25. The number obtained was then multiplied by the standard number of the platinum loop. With the average of several of such counts and calculations, the number of organisms was determined. In case the organisms were too small to be readily seen by means of the low power of the microscope and too numerous to be calculated from the large divisions of the slide, the approximate number could be obtained by counting the organisms in the smaller divisions.

When many very active flagellates were studied, the latter method of counting the organisms in several fields proved superior to the complete counting method, on account of the fact that the manipulator might count one organism several times. But, as a general rule, in every case where the organisms were not too active and where the organisms numbered from 300 to 400 in the solution transferred by the large loop and 300 by the small loop the direct count of all the organisms transferred by the loop was made, in order that the error incurred be the minimum.

In computing the organisms on the slide several fields in different positions were counted and averaged, in this way eliminating as much as possible the very small error which might be incurred, owing to the very slight capillarity.

The flagellates were always counted while active, as otherwise in many cases they could not be distinguished from cysts. In case of the ciliates, however, the organisms could be very easily distinguished when inactive. Thus, in counting the ciliates, the film of solution was first passed through the fumes of a 1 per cent osmic-acid solution, as suggested by Goodey (7). When many ciliates were present in a solution containing numerous flagellates, the counts of the living flagellates were made first, and then several other films of solution treated with osmic acid were examined and the number of ciliates determined.

In order to determine the variation in the amount of solutions which a platinum loop would transfer, two loops of different size prepared for this purpose were tested by weighing films of different culture solutions. The weights recorded below were made by placing the film of the solution upon a watch glass, which was counterpoised by another of the same size. The rider was placed at 0.1 mg. and the correct addition of weight calculated by oscillations. The temperature of the room was 22° C. and of the balance case 22.5° when the weights were made. (See Tables I-V.)

TABLE I.—*Variation in transference capacity of a platinum loop when used for several solutions and the variation after it had been used for a period of time*^a

[Weight of solution transferred]

Distilled water at 22° C. when loop was first used.	Distilled water at 22° C. after loop used 45 days.	Distilled water at 5° C.	Hay infusion at 22° C.	Blood extract at 22° C.	Soil extract at 22° C.
Gm.	Gm.	Gm.	Gm.	Gm.	Gm.
0.00200	0.00199	0.00150	0.00186	0.00194	0.00170
.00199	.00199	.00149	.00185	.00191	.00169
.00199	.00200	.00149	.00184	.00193	.00169
.00200	.00198	.00149	.00184	.00192	.00171
.00199	.00198	.00150	.00183	.00193	.00170
.00199	.00198	.00150	.00183	.00192
b. 0.001993	b. 0.001987	b. 0.001445	b. 0.001841	b. 0.001925	b. 0.001698

^a 1 gm. of distilled water at 22° C. = 1 c. c. ^b Average.TABLE II.—*Density of culture solutions compared with water at the same temperature*

Temperature.	Water	Hay infusion.	Blood extract.
° C.			
6	0.999970	0.999940	0.998883
22	.997828	.997460	.997643

TABLE III.—*Experimental error when all the organisms of the loop numbering about 9,000 per cubic centimeter are counted under the low power of the microscope*

Number of films.	Number of organisms in film.	Deviation.	Deviation squared.
1.....	14	5.2	27.0
2.....	22	2.8	7.8
3.....	13	6.2	38.4
4.....	14	5.2	27.0
5.....	23	3.8	14.4
6.....	19	.2	.4
7.....	26	6.8	46.2
8.....	28	8.8	77.4
9.....	23	3.8	14.4
10.....	10	9.2	84.6
Sum.....	192.0		337.6
Mean.....	19.2		

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Probable error} &= \pm 0.6745 \sqrt{\frac{\sum D^2}{n(n-1)}} \\
 &= \pm 0.6745 \sqrt{\frac{337.6}{90}} = 1.92. \\
 &= \pm 0.6745 \times 1.92 = 1.29.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Percentage of error} = 1.29 \div 19.2 = 6.74.$$

TABLE IV.—*Experimental error when all the organisms of the loop numbering about 140,000 per cubic centimeter are counted under the low power of the microscope*

Number of films.	Number of organisms in film.	Deviation.	Deviation squared.
1.....	263	22.5	500.0
2.....	251	34.5	1,190.0
3.....	286	.5	.2
4.....	377	91.5	8,370.0
5.....	266	19.5	381.0
6.....	290	4.5	22.1
7.....	302	16.5	72.0
8.....	223	62.5	3,900.0
9.....	326	40.5	1,640.0
10.....	266	19.5	381.0
Sum.....	2,850		10,672.3
Mean.....	285		

$$\text{Probable error} = \pm 0.6745 \sqrt{\frac{10,672.3}{90}} = 13.62.$$

$$= \pm 0.6745 \times 13.62 = 9.20.$$

$$\text{Percentage of error} = 9.20 \div 285.0 = 3.23.$$

TABLE V.—*Probable error when the organisms of several fields are counted and when the number totals about 450,000 per cubic centimeter*

Number of films.	Number of organisms.					Average.	Deviation.	Deviation squared.
	Field No. 1.	Field No. 2.	Field No. 3.	Field No. 4.	Field No. 5.			
1.....	56	30	24	30	22	32.4	4.2	17.6
2.....	40	19	35	31	23	27.6	8.8	77.5
3.....	20	15	18	25	23	16.2	20.4	416.0
4.....	23	24	28	25	32	26.4	10.2	104.0
5.....	26	37	29	53	45	38.0	1.4	.9
6.....	36	48	53	51	62	50.0	13.4	179.8
7.....	26	31	32	26	19	26.8	9.8	96.0
8.....	33	52	42	60	69	51.2	14.6	213.2
9.....	61	64	57	52	49	56.6	20.0	400.0
10.....	35	36	59	31	44	41.0	4.4	19.3
Sum.....						366.4		1,525.3
Mean.....						36.6		

$$\text{Probable error} = \pm 0.6745 \sqrt{\frac{1,525.3}{90}} = 4.15.$$

$$= \pm 0.6745 \times 4.15 = 2.799.$$

$$\text{Percentage of error} = 2.799 \div 36.6 = 7.64.$$

The average of the experimental error incurred in counting is therefore 5.87 per cent.

EFFICIENCY OF THE METHOD

Upon examining the foregoing data, it is seen that the variation in weights of successive amounts transferred from a solution at a given temperature is very slight, the greatest variation being not more than 1 per cent.

In applying the improved loop method all calculations are based upon distilled water at 22° C., or room temperature, as a standard. Hence, a correction must be made when solutions of different surface tension are employed, as the amount of culture solution transferred by the standard loop would vary with the liquid. This is noted in Table I in comparing the amount of distilled water, hay infusion, blood extract, and soil extract transferred with the same loop.

In order to facilitate calculations, 1 gm. of distilled water at 22° C. was used as the standard to represent 1 c. c.

Upon examining Table II, it is noted that the error incurred on account of the variation in the density of solutions used is practically negligible.

Where the number of organisms per cubic centimeter is relatively small, the experimental error incurred in counting all the organisms contained in the loop is 6.74 per cent, as seen from Table III. As shown in Table IV, when the number of organisms per cubic centimeter is greater and all the organisms in the loop are counted, the error is smaller. In counting relatively small numbers of organisms in several fields of a specially ruled slide the experimental error is greater in proportion than if the organisms of the entire loop are counted, as shown in Table V.

SUMMARY OF PART I

- (1) While the improved loop method is by no means devoid of errors, it has proved much more satisfactory than any of the other above-mentioned methods.
- (2) It makes possible the quantitative study of the development of organisms in solutions without greatly altering the culture solutions.
- (3) It is comparatively simple and requires but little time for any single determination.
- (4) The improved loop method requires additional calculation because corrections must be made when culture solutions of different surface tension than distilled water at 22° are employed, which is not the case when the volume is always constant.
- (5) The average experimental error is about 7 per cent.

II. PROTOZOA OF GREENHOUSE SOILS

INTRODUCTION

That small organisms other than bacteria, fungi, algae, and worms—i. e., protozoa—exist in rich soils was known by Ehrenberg (5) as far back as 1837. Greef (9) in 1866 recorded the presence of very large living

forms in the soil, but it was probably not until the work of Breal (1) in 1896, later by Francé (6), and by Wolff (19) in 1909, that mention was made of the probable effect which protozoa might have upon soil fertility due to the destructive influence which they might have upon other soil micro-organisms. Then again, the very extensive work on soil sterilization by Russell and Hutchinson (16, 17) in 1909 and 1913 has been carried out for the purpose of showing the effect of heat and antiseptics upon a detrimental factor in the soil, which those authors believe to be protozoa. In 1911 Goodey (7) describes the isolation of various protozoa from the soil and concludes that protozoa are inactive in normal soils. A few years later, however, he (8) concluded that the ciliated forms are present in the soil in the encysted condition and that the amoebæ and flagellates act as limiting factors. Martin (12), examining freshly collected soil for protozoa, concluded that the prevalence of protozoa in culture solutions was no indication of their presence in the living state in the soil. Upon the examination of cucumber-sick soil, Martin and Lewin (13) found eight different kinds of protozoa. Amoebæ were probably the dominant forms in the soil during examination. Flagellates were very rare. These investigators state that in absolutely saturated soils the ciliates may play an active part as a bacteria check but that "it is difficult to believe that they can exercise an important rôle in a sick soil-bed." Russell and Petherbridge (18) are also of the opinion that the factor which keeps down the numbers of bacteria in cucumber- and tomato-sick soil is biological. Kiffer (10), Rahn (15), Cunningham and Löhnis (4, p. 600), and later Cunningham (3) grew soil protozoa in various culture solutions and noted a great difference in the development of these organisms in artificial media.

Cunningham (3, p. 22) concludes that "the results given in this section prove conclusively that the soil protozoa, in solution at all events, exercise a very decided limiting effect on the numbers of bacteria."

DEVELOPMENT OF PROTOZOA IN ARTIFICIAL CULTURE SOLUTIONS

In this study it was the object of the writer:

- (1) To compare the difference in numbers and species of protozoa developed in different culture solutions.
- (2) To compare the protozoan development from varying amounts of soil.
- (3) To compare the protozoan development from moist and dry soil.
- (4) To compare the protozoan development from different greenhouse soils.

A large sample of clayey soil having a moisture content of 25 per cent and upon which alfalfa was grown in 1914 and then composted to a 20 per cent manure, was collected from a compost bin in the greenhouse. A portion of this soil was dried for three days at 35° C. Into 200 c. c.

Jena Erlenmeyer flasks were placed 100 c. c. portions of a 3 per cent dried-blood extract + 0.05 per cent of dibasic potassium phosphate (K_2HPO_4) prepared by boiling 30 gm. of dried blood with 1,000 c. c. of tap water for one hour and then adding 0.05 per cent of K_2HPO_4 . In like manner 100 c. c. portions of Löhnis's (11, p. 118) soil extract with the addition of 0.05 per cent of dibasic potassium phosphate were put into another series of flasks. These flasks were plugged with cotton and sterilized in an autoclave and all the precautions taken as in bacteriological work. They were then carefully inoculated with 1, 2, 3, 5, 10, 20, 50, and 100 gm. portions of moist and dry soils. The solutions were examined under the microscope for living protozoa by carefully transferring a film of the culture solution to a clean glass slide. The inoculated flasks were then placed in a constant-temperature incubator and incubated at 22° C. Daily examinations at the same hour for a period of 30 days were made and the different types of protozoa enumerated by the improved loop method described on p. 512.

In order to compare the development of protozoa of different greenhouse soils, three other compost soils were collected:

(1) A 10 per cent manure and 10 per cent sand mixture upon which roses were grown in the greenhouse the previous year. At the time of collection this soil was exposed to the weather and contained 21.1 per cent of moisture.

(2) A 50 per cent manure mixture, which was also exposed to the weather and had a moisture content of 30.3 per cent. Roses were grown upon this soil the previous year.

(3) A 30 per cent compost which was planted to soy beans and corn seedlings. This was a dry soil, having a moisture content of 14.9 per cent.

Erlenmeyer flasks of 200 c. c. capacity containing 100 c. c. of the same extracts of dried blood and soil, as described above, were inoculated with 1, 20, 50, and 100 gm. portions of the four composts. These solutions were examined for protozoa and then incubated at 22° C. for eight days. At the same hour each day examinations and counts of the different types of protozoa were made.

The classification of the protozoa which was followed throughout this problem was as follows:

The small ciliates included all organisms from the smallest to and including *Colpidium colpoda* Ehrh. The vorticellæ were also included.

The large ciliates included all forms larger than *Colpidium colpoda*.

The flagellates included all the forms that were observed.

It was found at the outset that there were many minute organisms that could not be recognized easily under the low power, but which were easily seen with the high power of the microscope. Even under the high power it was impossible to distinguish between large bacteria and

what might appear to be protozoa. For this reason all of the protozoan counts were made under the low power. In order that the results might be concordant, every culture was examined about the same hour each day, for there is a great variation in the numbers of active organisms present at different hours during the day (Table VI). This is especially true for the first 10 to 15 days after inoculation.

TABLE VI.—*Variation per gram of soil in numbers of protozoa present in culture solutions at different hours of the same day*

Culture No.	Second day after inoculation.		Third day after inoculation.	
	9 a. m.	4 p. m.	9 a. m.	4 p. m.
721.....	3, 272, 000	5, 430, 000	16, 800, 000	9, 082, 000
722.....	6, 180, 000	7, 220, 000	6, 980, 000	5, 050, 000
723.....	505, 000	1, 117, 000	798, 000	610, 000
724.....	221, 400	585, 000	516, 000	221, 400

DEVELOPMENT OF PROTOZOA IN DIFFERENT CULTURE SOLUTIONS INOCULATED WITH VARYING AMOUNTS OF MOIST AND DRY SOIL.

SMALL CILIATES.—The development of protozoa in the various solutions was quite different (as shown in Table VII). In comparing the development of the small ciliates in different media, it is apparent that the maximum development of these organisms occurred sooner in dried blood than in soil extract. In all inoculations of the dried-blood extract the greatest numbers were present on the third to the fourth day; the numbers then decreased, so that after the ninth day there were very few present throughout the remaining period of observation. In soil-extract solutions inoculated with the largest amounts of soil, the maximum development was from the third to the fourth day, whereas in solutions of the same extract inoculated with 1 gm. of dry soil they did not appear until the ninth day and on the sixteenth day with the same amount of moist soil. In both culture solutions the numbers of small ciliates developed were very small as compared with the number of flagellates. For the existence of small ciliates the soil extract seemed to be more favorable than dried blood. In comparing the numbers of organisms developed in the different solutions it was found that those which were inoculated with the largest amounts of soil did not show greater development than the ones to which the smallest amounts of soil had been added. Hence, on the gram basis more than a hundred times as many small ciliates developed from 1 gm. of soil as from 100 gm. It is noted that in nearly all inoculations of both media a greater number of organisms developed at an earlier period from the moist than from the dry soil. This difference was, however, not very great.

TABLE V. VII.—Comparison of the numbers of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily in different artificial culture solutions from varying amounts of moist and dry soil for a period of 30 days. These numbers are calculated to 1 gm. of dry soil

[illegible]

100	do.	do.	618	24,750	55,700	1,800	618	518	618
26			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
30			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
31			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
32			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
33			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
34			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
35			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
36			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
37			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
38			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
39			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
40			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
41			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
42			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
43			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
44			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
45			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
46			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
47			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
48			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
49			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						
50			Small ciliates.						
			Large ciliates.						
			Flagellates.						

TABLE VII.—Comparison of the numbers of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily in different artificial culture solutions from varying amounts of moist and dry soil for a period of 30 days. These numbers are calculated to 1 gm. of dry soil—Continued

Soil No.	Quantity of soil	Kind of soil	Medium	Kind of organisms	Days after inoculation.									
					11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
10	Gm.	Mold	Blood extract	Small ciliates...			81,300	81,300	81,300			81,300	81,300	
11	3	do	do	Flagellates...			81,300	81,300	247,500	81,300	81,300	81,300	81,300	101,000
12	5	do	do	Small ciliates...	81,300	115,000	131,600	104,000	26,500	257,000	26,500			51,600
13	10	do	do	Large ciliates...	60,000	247,000	300,000	299,000	16,100	105,000	68,000	131,000	68,000	601,000
14	20	do	do	Flagellates...	8,250	8,250	385,000	16,000	10,000	60,000	41,200	9,000	11,000	101,000
15	30	do	do	Small ciliates...	24,700	25,800	28,800	45,400	57,700	24,700	4,120	15,700	11,400	3,600
16	300	do	do	Large ciliates...	8,250	11,200	13,200	3,300	4,950	3,300	1,600	4,950	6,600	6,600
17	300	do	do	Flagellates...	5,750	7,470	4,950	825	825	1,750	825	3,300	1,650	4,120
18	1	Dry	do	Small ciliates...					61,700	61,700	61,700	61,700	61,700	61,700
19	3	do	do	Flagellates...					106,000	106,000	106,000	106,000	106,000	106,000
20	5	do	do	Small ciliates...					144,000	144,000	144,000	144,000	144,000	144,000
21	10	do	do	Flagellates...					21,300	21,300	21,300	21,300	21,300	21,300
22	20	do	do	Small ciliates...					6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150
23	30	do	do	Flagellates...					10,300	10,300	10,300	10,300	10,300	10,300
24	300	do	do	Large ciliates...					6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150	6,150

[illegible]

TABLE VII.—Comparison of the numbers of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily in different artificial culture solutions from varying amounts of moist and dry soil for a period of 30 days. These numbers are calculated to 1 gm. of dry soil.—Continued

Sr. No.	Quantity of sal.	Kind of sal.	Medium.	Kind of organisms.	Days after incubation.											
					21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		
1	10	Moist	Thick extract	Small ciliates.	84,500			82,500	105,000			310,000	105,000			
2	10	"	"	Large ciliates.	84,500			82,500	105,000			310,000	105,000			
3	10	"	"	Small ciliates.	180,000	165,000	20,500	105,000	310,000	8,500	26,500	185,000	82,500	105,000		
4	10	"	"	Large ciliates.	20,500	99,000	10,500	10,500	20,500	16,000	16,000	31,500	99,000	105,000		
5	10	"	"	Small ciliates.	20,500	99,000	10,500	10,500	20,500	16,000	16,000	31,500	99,000	105,000		
6	10	"	"	Large ciliates.	99,000	31,500	99,000	215,000	184,000	82,500	82,500	105,000	82,500	99,000	198,000	
7	10	"	"	Flagellates.	99,000	31,500	99,000	215,000	184,000	82,500	82,500	105,000	82,500	99,000	198,000	
8	10	"	"	Large ciliates.	44,750	107,000	16,500	82,500	99,750	60,000	60,000	82,500	41,250	49,500	99,000	
9	10	"	"	Small ciliates.	44,750	107,000	16,500	82,500	99,750	60,000	60,000	82,500	41,250	49,500	99,000	
10	10	"	"	Flagellates.	176,000	107,000	17,500	20,500	20,500	8,250	8,250	16,500	4,125	4,125	1,125	
11	10	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	1,650	4,400	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650	16,500	1,650	33,000	51,000	
12	10	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	1,650	4,400	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650	16,500	1,650	4,900	2,650	
13	10	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	1,650	4,400	1,650	1,650	1,650	1,650	16,500	1,650	1,650	1,650	
14	100	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	825	825	825	825	825	825	825	825	825	825	
15	100	"	"	Flagellates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
16	100	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
17	100	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
18	100	"	"	Flagellates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
19	100	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
20	100	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
21	100	"	"	Flagellates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
22	100	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
23	100	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
24	100	"	"	Flagellates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
25	100	"	"	Small ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	
26	100	"	"	Large ciliates.	1,650	4,125	5,775	5,775	1,650	1,650	825	1,650	825	825	825	

[illegible]

LARGE CILIATES.—Very few large ciliates developed in any of the inoculated solutions. These organisms appeared on the first day in some moist soil inoculations of dried-blood extract. In blood extract to which dry soil had been added and soil extract inoculated with moist soil large ciliates appeared on the second day in some solutions and on the third day in soil extract containing the largest amounts of dry soil. In all inoculations of dried-blood extract the greatest numbers appeared from the third to the eighth day; then the numbers decreased, so that there were very few in any of the solutions after the ninth day. In soil extract the organisms appeared much sooner in solutions with the larger than in the solutions with the smaller soil inoculations. As noted in Table VII, in solution 36, with 100 gm. of moist soil, the organisms developed on the second day, while in solution 30, when 1 gm. was used, the organisms did not appear until the nineteenth day. As many large ciliates developed in the dried blood as in soil extract. Again there was no definite relation between the numbers of organisms developed and the quantities of soil used for inoculation.

FLAGELLATES.—As noted in Table VII, in all inoculations of dried-blood extract, the maximum number of flagellates were present at an earlier period than in inoculations of soil extract. The maximum development in dried blood was from the second to the fourth day, depending on the quantity and kind of soil used. The largest number of organisms appeared sooner in solutions inoculated with the largest amounts of soil than where small quantities were used. With 100-gm. inoculations the maximum development of flagellates in solutions of soil extract with both moist and dry soils was on the seventh day, while with 1 gm. of moist soil the greatest numbers of organisms appeared on the eleventh day, and on the fifteenth day with the same amount of dry soil. As soon as the maximum numbers were reached, there was a gradual decrease until but few organisms remained in the solutions. In culture solutions inoculated with moist and dry soils, the largest development of flagellates was reached in the soil extract with the smallest quantities of soil, while with the largest amounts of soil the greatest development was in dried blood. In soil extract, with one exception, a larger number of flagellates were developed from the dry than from moist soil; this, however, was not the case with inoculations in blood. In nearly all inoculations with dry soil, the greatest numbers were developed in soil extract. In all cases there were nearly 200 times as many organisms developed per gram, from the 1-gm. than from the 100-gm. inoculations. In inoculated solutions of blood extract, the maximum number of all organisms appeared between the third and fourth day, while in the soil extract the largest number were present from the second to the fifteenth day, depending upon the kind and amount of soil inoculated.

DEVELOPMENT OF PROTOZOA FROM DIFFERENT COMPOST SOILS

As shown in Table VIII, the development of small ciliates, with respect to amounts of soil and media, was practically identical with the development in moist soil, as shown in Table VII. It is apparent from Table VIII that more small and more large ciliates develop from the less composted soils. In all inoculations of dried blood with the 10 and 20 per cent composts and for the larger amounts inoculated from the 30 and 50 per cent composts the maximum number of flagellates were present from the sixtieth hour to the fourth day. But with smaller amounts of soil inoculations from the 30 and 50 per cent manure soils, the maximum numbers appeared from the fourth to the seventh day. In the case of dried blood there was little difference in the numbers of flagellates developed from the different amounts of soil, while in soil extract inoculated with the smallest quantities of soil the maximum had not yet been reached at the end of the eighth day; hence, no comparison could be made. From the 30 and 50 per cent composts more flagellates were developed in blood extract than from the 10 and 20 per cent manures. Considering the numbers of organisms developed, on the gram basis, with dried blood there were more than two hundred times as many flagellates developed from 1 gm. as compared with 100 gm. of soil. It is seen that the maximum number of all organisms developed from the sixtieth hour to seven days in dried blood, while in the case of soil extract the maximum numbers had not been reached for the smaller soil inoculation when the experiment was concluded.

DEVELOPMENT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF PROTOZOA FROM THE SOIL

Very many different types of large ciliates were developed. Of the forms identified *Paramecium* sp. was probably the most common. Other large ciliates which were noted were probably *Eucheys pupa* Ehrb., a few individuals of *Urolaptus musculus* Ehrb., and probably *Nassula elegans* Ehrb. The vorticellæ were very numerous, appearing the fourth day, and were present in some solutions throughout the period of 30 days. They were developed in dried blood and soil extract from both moist and dry soils. Next in prominence was the *Colpoda cucullus* O. F. M., which was first recognized on the fourth day. *Colpidium colpoda* Ehrb. was also quite common. Of the flagellates species resembling *Monas guttata* Ehrb. and *Monas vivipara* Ehrb. were the most common. *Bodos* spp. were also very common. A few dimastigote amœbæ, apparently *Amœba radiata* Kelhs. were seen between the fifteenth and twentieth day after inoculation. A species corresponding to *Peranema trichophorum* Ehrb. appeared in small numbers from the eighteenth to the twenty-second day. Likewise a few organisms resembling *Trinema anchelys* Ehrb. were observed. Very few amœbæ

TABLE VIII.—Comparison of the numbers of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily in different artificial culture solutions and from varying amounts of different greenhouse soils for a period of 8 days. These numbers are all calculated to 1 gm. of dry soil!

Soil No.	Quantity of soil.	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Kind of organisms.	Period after inoculation.								
					12 hours.	36 hours.	60 hours.	4th day.	5th day.	6th day.	7th day.	8th day.	
	Gm.												
201	1	10 per cent compost.	Soil extract.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				171,000		87,300			
202	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				273,300	41,350	4,350	4,350		
203	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				1,720	1,720	87,000	4,350	4,350	
204	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				613,000	300,000	3,410	5,100	3,440	
								7,150	870	870	870	870	
211	1	do.	Blood extract	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				231,000	1,720	79,300	870	4,350	
212	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				3,970	3,970	2,150,000	2,150,000	79,300	
213	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				1,550	1,550	1,150	1,150	1,150	
214	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				212,000	191,350	9,150	3,985	2,550	
								168,000	57,300	91,000	785	3,090	
207	1	10 per cent compost.	Soil extract.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				9,150	371,000	4,350	4,350	4,350	
208	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				745,000	600,000	497,000	3,670	55,000	
209	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				6,720,000	61,000	331,000	9,150	18,300	
210	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.				1,000	8,750	9,150	7,350	25,000	

[illegible]

TABLE VIII.—Comparison of the numbers of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily in different artificial culture solutions and from varying amounts of different greenhouse soils for a period of 8 days. These numbers are all calculated to 1 gm. of dry soil.—Continued

Se- ries No.	Quantity of soil	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Kind of organisms.	Period after inoculation.							
					12 hours.	36 hours.	60 hours.	4th day.	5th day.	6th day.	7th day.	8th day.
	Gm.											
311	1	50 per cent compost	Blood extract	Small ciliates.		88,500						
				Large ciliates.		566,000	14,200,000	3,650,000	512,000	59,600,000	44,000,000	26,270,000
				Flagellates.		4,420	4,420					4,420
312	10	do.	do.	Small ciliates.		4,420						
				Large ciliates.		1,420	240,500	1,570,000	57,500	35,100	21,200	25,500
				Flagellates.		7,120	40,000	100,000	37,100	1,500	5,210	8,820
313	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates.		7,120	40,000	100,000	37,100	1,500	5,210	8,820
				Large ciliates.		885	17,500	241,000	16,800			585
				Flagellates.								
314	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates.								
				Large ciliates.								
				Flagellates.								

were developed; one large form was developed from moist soil in dried-blood extract on the eighth day; thereafter no forms were recognized until the twenty-third and twenty-fourth day, when a few small forms were noted. Protozoan cysts were very numerous in the former part of the experiment, but they gradually disappeared until very few were seen after the twenty-second day, thus indicating that in culture solutions some of the protozoa do not encyst after they have once become active, but either die or are destroyed by other forms.

SUMMARY OF PART II

Under the conditions of the experiment and with the soils examined it was found that:

(1) In culture solutions the maximum development of small and large ciliates and flagellates varies with the culture solution and the condition and amounts of soil used for inoculation.

(2) In dried-blood extract the maximum development of all ciliates and flagellates is from the third to the fourth day, while in soil extract it is from the second to the fifteenth day, depending upon the character and amount of soil used for inoculation.

(3) When the maximum development of all organisms is reached, there is a gradual decrease in numbers until very few active forms are present.

(4) The greatest numbers of protozoa developed sooner in culture solutions inoculated with the largest quantities of soil.

(5) Per gram of soil, there is the greatest development from the least amount of soil used for inoculations.

(6) For the development of all forms soil extract seemed to be a little more favorable than dried-blood extract.

(7) The flagellates are the first organisms to encyst.

(8) Very few large and small ciliates developed as compared with the numbers of flagellates.

(9) Drying the soil slightly favored the development of flagellates in soil extract, while with dried blood there was little difference.

(10) More large and small ciliates developed from the less composted soils.

(11) In dried blood more flagellates developed from the more heavily manured soils.

(12) Very many different types of ciliates were present, while the types and numbers of amœbæ were few.

III.—PROTOZOA OF FIELD AND GREENHOUSE SOILS

INTRODUCTION

The work reported in Part II of this paper on the protozoa of greenhouse soils led the writer to make a more complete investigation of the development of these organisms in culture solutions. In earlier experi-

ments it was found that the development of the different types of protozoa varied greatly with the culture solutions employed in studying these organisms.

The purpose of this problem was to study:

- (1) The development of protozoa in different culture solutions.
- (2) The development of protozoa from varying amounts of soil inoculations.
- (3) The comparison of the numbers and types of protozoa developed from compost and field soils.

A large sample of the same 20 per cent compost greenhouse soil containing 24.30 per cent of moisture which was used in the work on greenhouse soils in Part II was collected from a bin in the greenhouse. Likewise a sample of a heavy clay field soil which had not received fertilizer for several years was collected from a young orchard. This soil, which was taken 3 inches from the surface, had a moisture content of 18.33 per cent, and the temperature at the time of collection was 0.5° C. To 100 c. c. portions of 3 per cent dried-blood extract with 0.05 per cent of dibasic potassium phosphate and the same amount of a 10 per cent hay infusion¹ were inoculated with 1, 5, 20, 50, and 100 gm. portions of each soil. The inoculated solutions were examined for protozoa and then incubated at 22° C. for a period of 30 days. At the same hour each day counts were made of the organisms developed. The examinations were made under the low power of the microscope and the organisms enumerated by the improved loop method as described in Part I of this paper. When the specially prepared slide was not used, in counting culture solutions containing more than 350,000 organisms per cubic centimeter the loop of culture solution was transferred to a plain glass slide, a 5 mm. square of which was carefully ruled off into 40 to 50 small fields of equal size. All the organisms in the incomplete fields on the outer portions of the film of culture solution were counted, the average of the numbers in several fields were taken, and the numbers of organisms calculated as in the improved loop method. This method checked very closely with counts made by means of the special slide.

As in the previous work, the same difficulty of distinguishing large bacteria from small flagellates was encountered. Hence, to facilitate the enumeration of the organisms it was thought advisable to make all of the counts under the low power of the microscope. In many cases it was difficult to distinguish small ciliates from flagellates; hence, notwithstanding precautions taken in enumerating the organisms, it is quite probable that some small ciliates might have been counted as flagellates, and vice versa.

¹ This formula was recommended by N. Kopeloff, H. Clay Lint, and David A. Coleman in 1915, in an unpublished manuscript entitled, "A New Method for the Counting of Protozoa and Some Media for Their Development."

In this study the same classification of protozoa was made as in previous experiments. The small ciliates included all organisms from the smaller to and including *Colpidium colpoda*. The vorticellæ were also included. The large ciliates included all forms larger than *Colpidium colpoda*. The flagellates included all forms of flagellates that were observed.

DEVELOPMENT OF PROTOZOA IN CULTURE SOLUTIONS INOCULATED WITH
VARIOUS AMOUNTS OF SOIL

DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL CILIATES

From Table IX it is apparent that the development of small ciliates varies greatly with the kind of media and soil used. It is noted that but few of these organisms developed in any of the solutions of dried-blood extract inoculated with the varying quantities of field soil. Very many appeared from the 5-gm. and 20-gm. inoculations of the same soil in hay infusion, indicating that only definite types develop under certain conditions. That this is true is again apparent. In hay infusion inoculated with 100 gm. of field soil only a few organisms developed on the second and third days. In the 1-gm. and 50-gm. inoculations no small ciliates had developed during the whole period of 30 days, but in the case where 100 c. c. of hay infusion had been inoculated with 5 gm. of soil, as many as 30,700,000 organisms per gram were present on the eighth day. With the 20-gm. inoculations 3,700,000 had appeared on the ninth day. In both media more small ciliates developed from the compost than from the field soil. In the compost inoculations of both dried blood and hay infusion a few organisms appeared on the second day where the largest quantities of soil had been used. But the maximum numbers were not reached until the fourth day with the former and the fifth day with the latter solution. In the development of the maximum numbers of small ciliates in the different culture solutions, a variation in the period of the development was very apparent. In all inoculations of dried blood the greatest numbers were noted on the third and fourth days. With hay infusion the maximum numbers did not appear until the fifth, and in some cases not until the eighth day, depending upon the amount of soil used for inoculation.

DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE CILIATES

No large ciliates were noted in any of the inoculations of the field soil, indicating that either the conditions in the media must have been unfavorable for their development or that there were no cysts of large ciliates in the soil.

That such cysts are present in greenhouse soil is indicated by the development of these organisms in both dried blood and hay infusion. The former solution, however, did not seem to be very favorable for their

TABLE IX.—Comparison of the numbers of large and small ciliates and flagellates which developed daily in different artificial culture solutions inoculated with varying quantities of field and greenhouse soils for a period of 30 days. These numbers have all been calculated on the basis of 1 gm. of dry soil

Solution No.	Quantity of soil.	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Kind of organ- isms.	Days after inoculation.									
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Gm.														
801	1	Field...	Blood extract	Small ciliates					65,000	120,500	135,500	108,500	63,000	63,000
				Flagellates					8,000,000	185,500	275,500	677,000	1,975,000	325,000
802	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates		555,500	10,025,000	9,700,000		125,500				
				Flagellates	24,300	315,000	5,200,000	14,000,000		6,000,000				
803	20	do.	do.	Small ciliates			3,610			3,050				
				Flagellates			1,000,000			707,000				
804	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates		25,150	2,100,000	1,600,000		1,235				
				Flagellates	2,990	209,000	381,000		15,300	113,000				
805	100	do.	do.	Flagellates		5,350	157,500	122,000	67,300	351,000	224,000	113,000	91,700	1,185
811	1	Greenhouse	do.	Small ciliates			245,000		68,000					
				Flagellates		1,215,000	29,200,000	72,200,000	12,200,000	612,000	344,000	272,000	136,000	236,000
812	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates		3,420,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000
				Flagellates	18,450	3,930,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	1,150,000	94,700	45,300	46,800	116,000	2,132,000
813	20	do.	do.	Small ciliates			30,000			3,400				3,400
				Flagellates	B. 150	24,300	630,000	122,500	54,200	6,800	44,300	10,200	20,200	3,400
814	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates			96,500		131,000					2,340
				Flagellates	30,300	37,000	33,000	117,400		1,340	5,400	5,400	5,400	1,340
815	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates		2,300	2,300	3,200	2,060					2,340
				Flagellates	45,200	474,000	39,350	6,300			1,950	1,340	4,330	2,340
821	1	Field	Hay infusion	Small ciliates										
				Flagellates		314,000	276,000	16,950,000	33,600,000	61,000,000	100,000,000	17,800,000	19,400,000	40,200,000
822	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates					177,500	1,875,000	10,700,000	1,975,000	1,975,000	1,975,000
				Flagellates	226,000	226,000	6,300,000	7,950,000	8,950,000	7,950,000	10,700,000	1,975,000	1,975,000	1,975,000

823	20	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
824	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	9,450 750 3,100	21,000 500,000 3,500	385,000 20,000	583,000 109,200,000	2,110,000 25,510,000	500,000 1,123,000	344,000 78,200
825	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
831	1	Greenhouse	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
832	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
833	10	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
834	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000
835	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates.	3,500 10,500 240,000	6,540 6,250,000 1,500,000	65,400 12,200,000 5,400,000	80,000 13,500,000 13,500,000	3,250,000 3,250,000 13,850	3,250,000 3,250,000 500,000	400,000

TABLE IX.—Comparison of the numbers of large and small ciliates and flagellates which developed daily in different artificial culture solutions inoculated with varying quantities of field and greenhouse soils for a period of 30 days. These numbers have all been calculated on the basis of 1 gm. of dry soil.—Continued

Solution No.	Quantity of soil.	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Kind of organisms.	Days after inoculation.												
					11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20			
<i>Gm.</i>																	
801	1	Field	Blood extract	Small ciliates.													
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
802	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	371,000	125,500	251,000	125,500	567,000	315,000	126,000	380,000	65,000	251,000			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
803	20	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	480,000	61,000	75,700	12,100	24,700	65,000	226,500	9,650	226,500	9,720			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
804	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	3,210	3,210	6,420	6,420	6,420	9,630	9,630	9,630	3,210	15,150			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
805	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	1,185	1,185	2,370	2,370	16,110				1,185	2,370			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
811	1	Greenhouse	do.	Small ciliates.	68,000		68,000		136,000	174,000	136,000	340,000	136,000	256,000			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
812	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	8,000	68,000		116,000	216,000	243,000	303,000	81,500	131,000	131,000			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
813	20	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	243,000	345,000	345,000	26,800	216,000	421,000	303,000	81,500	131,000	131,000			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
814	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	3,400	1,140	3,400	3,400	6,800	170,000	6,800	6,800	6,800	6,800			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
815	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	24,700	1,340	4,230	4,230	2,680	6,800	2,680	5,340	5,340	2,680			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
821	1	Field	Hay infusion.	Small ciliates.													
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.													
822	5	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	76,000,000	35,400,000	46,350,000	6,150,000	1,131,000	197,000	131,000	1,950,000	23,000	23,000			
				Large ciliates.													
				Flagellates.	657,000	1,300,000	657,000	650,000	78,200								

822	30	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	418,000	46,000	36,300	12,800	32,100	3,210	3,210	9,600	3,210	12,810
				Large ciliates.	18,900	21,700	6,410	12,800	3,210	3,210	3,210	3,210	6,420	3,210
824	50	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	668,000	530,000	170,000	144,000	40,700	33,100	154,000	226,800	151,000	2,360
				Large ciliates.	32,100	60,000	25,700	6,410	6,410	3,210	7,915	6,410	642	642
825	100	do.	do.	Small ciliates.	10,255,000	8,770,000	10,700,000	4,800,000	3,600,000	9,550,000	9,550,000	3,000,000	2,400,000	1,207,000
				Large ciliates.	147,000	304,000	262,300	700,200	800,000	202,000	202,000	700,500	700,500	212,000
826	1	Greenhouse	do.	Flagellates.	112,500	112,500	27,800	900,000	2,400,000	1,632,000	1,632,000	957,000	848,000	848,000
				Small ciliates.	112,500	112,500	27,800	900,000	2,400,000	1,632,000	1,632,000	957,000	848,000	848,000
827	5	do.	do.	Large ciliates.	130,000,000	17,000,000	8,550,000	3,18,000	183,000	664,000	664,000	1,000,000	511,000	12,000
				Small ciliates.	1,772,000	970,000	49,200	64,000	40,000	1,772,000	1,772,000	1,772,000	1,772,000	17,100
828	20	do.	do.	Large ciliates.	23,900	70,000	27,000	7,000	7,000	3,210	3,210	7,000	3,210	2,170
				Small ciliates.	81,300	455,000	39,600	28,100	10,000	5,070	5,070	7,000	3,210	2,170
829	10	do.	do.	Large ciliates.	90,800	95,800	2,715	13,900	12,500	2,140	2,140	640	2,300	642
				Small ciliates.	19,300	13,900	24,000	13,900	12,500	2,140	2,140	640	2,300	642
830	100	do.	do.	Flagellates.	2,800	4,180				1,300	640	2,030	640	2,030

TABLE IX.—Comparison of the numbers of large and small ciliates and flagellates which developed daily in different artificial culture solutions inoculated with varying quantities of field and greenhouse soils for a period of 30 days. These numbers have all been calculated on the basis of 1 gm. of dry soil.—Continued

Soln. No.	Quan- tity of soil	Kind of soil	Medium	Kind of organ- isms	Days after inoculation									
					21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
801	Gm.	Field	Blood extract	Small ciliates...	125,500	180,500	239,700	188,500	115,500	6,450	125,500	251,000	251,000	551,000
802	5	do	do	Large flagellates...	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350	11,350
803	20	do	do	Large flagellates...	37,100	24,700	63,000	63,000	37,100	12,900	12,900	12,900	12,900	24,700
804	50	do	do	Small ciliates...	3,090	6,180	9,270	6,180	3,090	6,180	3,090	6,180	9,270	6,180
805	100	do	do	Small ciliates...	3,210	2,200	6,180	3,210	6,180	1,790	3,720	1,790	5,100	1,790
806	1	Greenhouse	do	Large flagellates...	647	647	647	1,290	647	647	647	1,290	1,290	1,290
811	1	Greenhouse	do	Small ciliates...	65,000	65,000	110,000	110,000	126,000	65,000	126,000	126,000	126,000	126,000
812	5	do	do	Small ciliates...	85,000	85,000	85,000	85,000	13,400	13,400	13,400	13,400	13,400	13,400
813	20	do	do	Small ciliates...	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500	340,500
814	50	do	do	Large ciliates...	3,400	6,800	3,400	3,400	1,700	6,800	3,400	6,800	3,400	6,800
815	100	do	do	Small ciliates...	1,340	5,350	5,050	1,340	6,800	5,350	1,340	5,050	1,340	5,050
821	1	Field	Hay infusion	Small ciliates...	495,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000
822	5	do	do	Large flagellates...	38,500	31,400	25,700	25,700	21,850	11,850	25,700	11,850	11,850	11,850
823	20	do	do	Large flagellates...	38,500	31,400	25,700	25,700	21,850	11,850	25,700	11,850	11,850	11,850

development, as only a few appeared with some of the inoculations on the seventh to the eleventh day after inoculation. In hay infusion, however, they began to appear on the third day; the maximum numbers were reached on the fifth to the sixth day after inoculation; then the numbers decreased.

DEVELOPMENT OF FLAGELLATES

That there were more flagellate cysts in the soils examined or that the media employed were more favorable for the development of these organisms than for ciliates is clearly seen by the fact that in all the culture solutions these organisms were more numerous. There was a great variation in the development of these organisms in the different solutions when inoculated with different soils. Upon noting the development of these organisms from field soil it is seen that in the dried-blood extract they appeared the first and second days after inoculation, the maximum number being reached on the third and fourth days. In hay infusion they appeared the second and third days; the maximum numbers were present from the sixth to the eighth day.

In inoculations of both media with greenhouse soil the flagellates appeared on the first day, the greatest numbers appearing from the second to the fourth day in the blood extract and from the third to the eleventh days in the cases of the inoculated solution of hay infusion. In comparing the period of maximum development of flagellates from the various compost soil inoculations, it is seen that with large amounts of soil inoculations the greatest development was attained several days before the maximum had been reached with the smaller inoculations. In total numbers of organisms developing there was no greater majority from either soil examined. In some solutions of dried-blood extract and hay infusion the greatest numbers were developed from field soils, while the greatest development in others was from greenhouse soil.

DEVELOPMENT OF ALL FORMS OF PROTOZOA

For the development of all forms of protozoa hay infusion was more favorable than dried-blood extract. In the former solutions the bacteria seemed to thrive and multiply much more readily than in the latter. Thus, if protozoa feed upon these organisms, favorable conditions for the development of bacteria might have stimulated protozoan life. In comparing the numbers of all forms of protozoa present it is seen that the maximum development is always present at an earlier period in the solutions with the largest inoculations. This is in all probability due to the presence of many more cysts in solutions to which larger amounts of soil were added.

In considering the development of protozoa on the gram-inoculation basis more than one hundred times as many organisms excysted from the smaller than the larger inoculations of soil. This fact may be due

to the increased toxicity produced from the decomposition products of bacteria and other organisms. It is very clearly noted that in all inoculated solutions as soon as the maximum development is reached there is a gradual decrease in numbers of all types of organisms. In so far as observations have been made, this fact is attributed to several causes: The soil contains various kinds and numbers of living organisms as well as spores and many cysts. If the temperature and other conditions, such as sufficient desirable food, the absence of harmful toxins, and the presence of favorable reactions (acidity or alkalinity) are present when a culture solution is inoculated with the soil, certain types of protozoa as well as other organisms excyst and multiply until conditions become unfavorable. Owing to the lack of desirable food for certain species of organisms, the presence of certain decomposition products of either bacteria, yeasts, molds, or protozoa, or the direct destruction by other forms, the organisms either encyst or die. The organisms probably remain inactive until conditions again become favorable, when they excyst and multiply as before.

TYPES OF PROTOZOA DEVELOPED IN DIFFERENT CULTURE SOLUTIONS

In hay-infusion solutions inoculated with the greenhouse soil the organisms observed corresponded to *Vorticella* spp., *Colpoda cucullus*, *Colpidium colpoda*, *Prorodon ovum* Ehrb., and *Glaucoma* sp. The first two mentioned appeared the second day after inoculation; the others were first noted on the sixth day. In some solutions a few of these forms were still present on the thirtieth day. No vorticellæ developed from any inoculations with field soil, indicating that this form inhabits rich moist soil. This fact has already been noted by several investigators. In hay infusion several different unidentified types developed. This has never before been observed in any of the other culture solutions. A large type of flagellates developed on the third day after inoculation. In some solutions of hay infusion these forms appeared soon after the smaller ones had disappeared. Colpoda was the most common form of ciliate in inoculations with field soil. From inoculations of field soil types of ciliates developed different from those in solutions to which greenhouse soil was added. It was also noted that fewer types of organisms were developed from the former than from the latter soil.

In hay infusion the large ciliates were markedly different from those which had already been observed with dried-blood extract. In the former solution inoculated with greenhouse soil but one type was observed. It was very apparent that dried-blood extract was the most favorable for the development of many types of large ciliates.

On the ninth day after the inoculation in hay infusion to which field soil had been added millions of very minute organisms that appeared to be flagellates were noted. These could barely be recognized under the low power of the microscope. This form was not counted, but was

observed to be present throughout the experiment. Similar protozoa appeared on the fourteenth day in hay infusion to which greenhouse soil had been added.

In hay infusion it was very apparent that as the numbers of protozoa decreased the number of cysts increased. That all the protozoa do not encyst when conditions become unfavorable is shown by the fact that very many dead forms of *Glaucoma* and *Prorodon* were seen.

On the twentieth day species of *Euglena* appeared in dried blood inoculated with field soil and in hay infusion to which greenhouse soil had been added. The latter solution was favorable for the development of these organisms, as 600 per gram of soil appeared on the twentieth day, while on the thirtieth day 28,000 organisms of *Euglena* spp. per gram were counted.

That the soils examined contained a small number of amoebæ cysts or that the conditions in the culture solutions were unfavorable for their development was judged by the fact that very few were observed. From the soil inoculations in dried blood no forms of amoebæ were recognized, while in the case of the hay infusion to which greenhouse soil had been added a few were observed on the twenty-first day.

SUMMARY OF PART III

Under the conditions of this experiment and of Part II it is apparent that in developing protozoa from the soil in artificial culture solutions different numbers and types of protozoa will be developed for every variation in the amounts of each soil used for inoculation and with every culture solution used.

IV.—EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE UPON THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOIL PROTOZOA

INTRODUCTION

In the earlier experiments recorded in Parts II and III of this article it was shown that the development of the numbers and types of soil protozoa in artificial culture solutions varied with the kind of culture solutions as well as with the quantity, physical condition, and kind of soil used for inoculation.

The problem under discussion deals with the development of the numbers and types of soil protozoa which appear at various temperatures in artificial culture solutions inoculated with soil of different origin.

That different conditions of temperature affect the development of protozoa in the soil was recorded by Cunningham (3, p. 14), who, after inoculating a quantity of soil for a period of nine days at 5 to 7° C., then increasing the incubation temperature to 22° C., noted an increase in the numbers of protozoa developed after seven days. "Exposure to a temperature of 30° C. for seven days has caused a fall in the total numbers but a distinct rise in the number of cysts."

A sample of the 20 per cent compost greenhouse soil which had been used in previous experiments was collected. Likewise another sample of the heavy unfertilized clay soil used in another study was brought to the laboratory. A third sample, a light loamy soil which contained 12.19 per cent of moisture and which had received an application of 20 tons of barnyard manure per acre for the last 20 years, was collected 3 inches below the surface. The upper $1\frac{3}{4}$ inches were frozen, but the temperature at 3 inches was 1.5° C. at the time of sampling.

Four 200 c. c. Jena Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 c. c. portions each of 3 per cent dried-blood extract with 0.05 per cent of dibasic potassium phosphate and the same number of flasks containing 100 c. c. portions of a 10 per cent hay infusion were inoculated with 5-gm. samples of the newly collected moist soils. (It was found in Parts II and III that on the gram basis a greater development of protozoa can be produced with smaller amounts of soil.) The inoculated solutions were examined for living protozoa, and then one flask of each solution of hay infusion and blood extract inoculated with each soil was incubated at temperatures of 5 to 7° C., another set at 15 to 16° C., a third at 22 to 23° C., and a fourth at 29 to 30° C., for a period of 30 days. At the same hour each day these solutions were examined and the living protozoa counted by the improved loop method under the low power of the microscope.

In order that the inoculated solutions should not vary in temperature during examination, they were kept in constant-temperature baths. In like manner, to guard against excessive evaporation from the solutions inoculated at 29 to 30° C., each flask was placed in a container of water and covered with a large beaker. To prevent variation through the possible effect of excessive light, the flasks were screened in all cases during the incubation period.

As in the previous experiments, the classification of protozoa that was followed in this problem was as follows: The small ciliates included all organisms from the smallest to and including *Colpidium colpoda*. The vorticella type were also included. The large ciliates included all forms larger than *Colpidium colpoda*. The flagellates included all the forms of flagellates that were observed.

DEVELOPMENT OF SOIL PROTOZOA IN CULTURE SOLUTIONS AT VARIOUS TEMPERATURES

DEVELOPMENT OF SMALL CILIATES

That the varying condition of temperature is a very important factor in the development of soil protozoa in culture solutions is noted by the marked variation in the numbers of organisms present. For the development of small ciliates a temperature of 6 to 7° C. proved very unfavorable, as in none of the inoculated solutions did the organisms exceed 265,000 per gram. At this temperature blood extract seemed to be more

TABLE X.—Number of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily at various temperatures in different culture solutions inoculated with the same amount of different soil for a period of 30 days. These numbers have all been calculated on the basis of 1 gm. of dry soil

Salmon. No.	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Temperature incubation.	Kind of organisms.	Days after inoculation.									
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1111	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	6 to 7° C.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1112	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1113	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1114	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1115	Field+manure	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1116	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1117	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	15 to 16° C.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1118	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1119	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1120	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1121	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	15 to 16° C.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1122	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1123	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1124	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1125	Field+manure	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1126	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1127	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	22 to 27° C.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000
1128	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates.	15,000	15,000	15,000	77,000	930,000	371,000	850,000	3,900,000	4,400,000	15,200,000

TABLE X.—Number of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates developed daily at various temperatures in different culture solutions inoculated with the same amount of different soils for a period of 30 days. These numbers have all been calculated on the basis of 1 gm. of dry soil.—Continued

Solution No.	Kind of soil.	Medium.	Temperature incubation.	Kind of organisms.	Days after inoculation.									
					11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1211	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	6 to 7° C.	Small ciliates. Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	31,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000	91,000 10,000 20,000 10,000 20,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1212	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000	47,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1213	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000	47,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1214	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000	47,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1215	Field + manure	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000	47,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1216	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	70,000 70,000 70,000 70,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	15,500 10,000 10,000 10,000	47,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	155,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	77,000 1,400,000 2,000,000 2,000,000	46,000 245,000 245,000 245,000	15,500 994,000 994,000 994,000		
1217	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	15 to 16° C.	Large ciliates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500		
1218	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1219	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1220	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1221	Field + manure	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1222	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1223	Field	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1224	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1225	Field + manure	Blood extract.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1226	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Large ciliates. Flagellates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	6,500 6,500 6,500 6,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	1,500 1,500 1,500 1,500	403,000 390,000 390,000 390,000	41,000 255,000 255,000 255,000	13,000 186,000 186,000 186,000	23,700 125,500 125,500 125,500	27,500 201,500 201,500 201,500		
1227	Greenhouse.	Blood extract.	22 to 23° C.	Large ciliates. Small ciliates. Small ciliates.	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000	22,000 22,000 22,000		

1132	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	6,520,000 53,000 632,000	4,920,000 39,330	4,920,000 26,250	4,340,000 13,260	2,300,000 13,260	3,600,000 13,260	3,040,000 13,260	2,950,000 13,260	1,433,000 13,260	412,500 16,600
1133	Field.	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	513,000 2,586,000	3,560,000 3,000	1,971,000 3,000	1,971,000 800,000	1,165,000 559,000	105,000 441,000	114,000 441,000	114,000 80,000	24,000 101,500	48,800 139,500
1134	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	76,200	12,750	12,750	12,750	38,100	21,550	21,550
1135	Field+manure	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	81,000 197,200	645,000 74,000	156,000 74,000	156,000 810,000	379,000 815,000	10,320,000 747,000	13,260,000 24,000	13,260,000 24,000	660,000 24,000	58,000 24,000
1136	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	6,340,000	1,271,000	810,000	810,000	747,000	5,283,000	385,200	105,000	261,400	60,000
1141	Greenhouse	Blood extract.	39 to 39°C.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	72,600 67,400	12,670 53,210	25,240 53,210	25,240 80,000	12,670 40,000	12,670 37,500	12,670 106,500	12,670 53,210	25,240 13,300	139,000 7,000
1142	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	4,070,000	24,000	24,000	24,000	12,200	11,300	11,300	12,200	27,200	26,600
1143	Field	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	15,900	24,000	24,000	24,000	12,200	36,000	24,000	12,200	27,200	12,200
1144	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	207,000	69,000	69,000	69,000	88,900	24,400	36,600	12,200
1145	Field+manure	Blood extract.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	3,100,000 69,000	511,000 38,000	511,000 38,000	511,000 38,000	460,100 23,150	240,000 23,150	12,700 25,000	25,000 23,150	12,700 23,150	11,000 104,000
1146	do.	Hay infusion.	do.	Small ciliates. Flagellates.	60,000 24,000	11,000 24,000	11,000 24,000	11,000 24,000	11,000 24,000	69,000 46,300	46,300	46,300	34,750	11,000

favorable than hay infusion. That the conditions in the solutions examined were unfavorable for the existence of these organisms is seen by the fact that they did not appear until the twelfth to the fourteenth day, while in others they did not develop throughout the entire period of 30 days.

With the exception of solutions of hay infusion inoculated with greenhouse and fertilized field soil, in all inoculations of both media greater numbers of small ciliates developed at 15° to 16° C. than at any other temperature, indicating that with these culture solutions this temperature is the most favorable for the development of small ciliates. With every soil examined there was a much greater development of these organisms in hay infusion than in blood extract. These facts indicate that only certain types of small ciliates exist and develop at a definite temperature and that the extent of their development is influenced by the amount of desirable food and other favorable conditions in the culture solutions. Because of the fact that the development of small ciliates in dried-blood extract at 15° to 16°, 22° to 23°, and 29° to 30° C. was not as great as in the solutions of hay infusion, the maximum numbers were in most cases developed sooner in the former than in the latter solutions. At 15° to 16° C. in inoculated solutions of both media the period of maximum development varied considerably. In the inoculation of dried-blood extract with greenhouse soil the greatest numbers were reached on the seventh day, while with the other soils a longer time was required. The maximum development with the field-soil inoculations was on the thirteenth day. With the fertilized field soil the greatest number was not reached until the twenty-fifth day after inoculation. In solutions of hay infusion with greenhouse soil the maximum number of organisms was reached on the thirteenth day, with the fertilized field soil on the eleventh day, and with the field soil on the nineteenth day after inoculation.

Incubating at higher temperatures as a rule encouraged a more rapid development of these organisms. However, this fact was not universal, but it was noted that at the temperatures of 22° to 23° and 29° to 30° C. the small ciliates began to appear at an earlier period than at lower temperatures of inoculation. This fact is especially marked in the greenhouse and fertilized-soil inoculations of both media. At 29° to 30° C. in the greenhouse-soil inoculations the organisms appeared on the second day, at 22° to 23° C. on the third day, at 15° to 16° C. on the fifteenth, and at 6° to 7° C. in one inoculation on the twelfth day, and with the other they did not appear until the twenty-seventh day. A very similar circumstance was noted with the fertilized field soil, but in this case at the highest temperature the organisms did not appear until the third day, in solutions inoculated at 15° to 16° C. on the fifth to sixth day, while in solutions inoculated at 6° to 7° C. they appeared on the four-

teenth day, and in the other solution they did not appear at all. For the development of the greatest numbers of small ciliates at the different temperatures, in the media inoculated with the various soils, the greatest numbers developed in hay infusion at 29° to 30° C. with inoculations of greenhouse and manured field soil, while with field soil the maximum development was at 15° to 16° C.

DEVELOPMENT OF LARGE CILIATES

On examining Tables X, XI, and XII it at once becomes apparent that either the soils examined contained very few large ciliate cysts or the conditions in the media were unfavorable for their existence, as very few of these organisms developed. Blood extract seemed a little more favorable than hay infusion. In all the inoculations with the greenhouse soil a few large ciliates developed. In solutions of dried-blood extract with the greenhouse soil at 6° to 7° they first appeared on the fourteenth day, at 15° to 16° on the sixth, at 22° to 23° on the fourth, and at 29° to 30° C. they did not appear until the ninth day after inoculation. At 22° to 23° and 29° to 30° C. a few large ciliates developed in the hay-infusion inoculations of greenhouse soil. With the exception of a few organisms that appeared in the blood-extract inoculations of the manured field soil at 15° to 16° and in the hay-infusion inoculations of field soil at 29° to 30° C. and in the one mentioned above, no large ciliates appeared in any of the solutions.

DEVELOPMENT OF FLAGELLATES

Again the temperature plays a very important rôle in the development of flagellates. Upon considering the largest numbers of organisms developed at different temperatures in dried-blood extract, it is seen that in all cases the greatest development appeared at 6° to 7° and the smallest at 29° to 30° C. There were 150 to 250 times as many developed at the former temperature as at the latter. In comparing all inoculations of hay infusion it is noted that the greatest development for all the soils examined was at 15° to 16°; the smallest in some cases occurred at 6° to 7°; in others at 29° to 30°. With one exception, at 6° to 7°, greater numbers were developed in hay infusion than in dried-blood extract. Comparing the greatest numbers of flagellates developed at the different temperatures and in the various media, it is seen that the greatest development for all soils was in hay infusion at 15° to 16° C. In all cases in the inoculations of hay infusion and in most cases with dried-blood extract at 6° to 7° these organisms did not appear until the fifth day and the maximum was not reached until the twenty-eighth or twenty-ninth day after inoculation.

TABLE XI.—Period of the maximum development and number of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates at different temperatures in dried-blood extract and hay infusion inoculated with the same amount of the various soils

SMALL CLILATES												
Soil.	Incubated at 6° to 7° C.		Incubated at 15° to 10° C.		Incubated at 20° to 25° C.		Incubated at 29° to 30° C.		Incubated at 37° C.		Incubated at 39° to 40° C.	
	Dried-blood extract.	Days after inoculation.	Dried-blood extract.	Days after inoculation.	Dried-blood extract.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.	Days after inoculation.
Greenhouse field + manure	185,000	17	118,000	3	88,500	13	71,800	10	21,400,000	10	6,100,000	14
	159,000	21	304,000	0	121,850	24	36,600	15	45,400,000	19	388,000	4
	79,000	27	8,880,000	25	92,800	4	543,000	5	19,100,000	11	26,250,000	6
LARGE CLILATES												
Greenhouse field + manure	31,000	25	13,700	6	79,800	4	11,600	9			51,300	29
												26,000
												12,100
												27
FLAGELLATES												
Greenhouse field + manure	10,180,000	15	7,100,000	4	872,000	3	319,000	20	673,000	59	102,000,000	9
	51,900,000	16	27,400,000	13	12,650,000	6	219,000	10	131,600,000	25	137,500,000	11
	93,400,000	10	8,450,000	5	40,350,000	15	335,000	9	97,000,000	21	291,000,000	11
												216,000,000
												53,700,000
												4
												4

DEVELOPMENT OF SOIL PROTOZOA AT DIFFERENT TEMPERATURES

From the data presented it is seen that the maximum number of small ciliates in the dried-blood extract were found at 15° to 16° C., while the greatest number of flagellates appeared at 6° to 7° C. In hay infusion the flagellates developed in greater numbers at 15° to 16°. In some solutions of hay infusion the small ciliates developed at 15° to 16° and in others at 29° to 30° C.

That the ciliates were directly detrimental to the development of flagellates can not be definitely stated at this time, but it is noted that in solutions of culture media incubated at 6° to 7° C., where very few ciliates developed when the flagellates had excysted, many more were present until the end of the experiment than had been noticed in any other inoculations. In view of this fact the flagellate development might be influenced by the presence of ciliates. At 6° to 7° C. the maximum number of flagellates appeared from 7 to 17 days before the maximum ciliate development was reached. At this temperature in no case was the maximum ciliate development noted until the tenth or eleventh day, while the greatest ciliate development appeared from the seventeenth to the twenty-seventh day after inoculation. In all inoculations of hay infusion at 6° to 7° the maximum number of flagellates developed at an earlier period than did the ciliates. The maximum development of the former occurred from the twenty-first to the twenty-ninth day, while that of the latter was 30 days after inoculation. In all inoculations at 15° to 16° the maximum development of small ciliates and flagellates was reached sooner than at the temperature of 6° to 7° C. This period varied from 2 to 20 days. In comparing the maximum numbers of small ciliates and flagellates developed at 22° to 23° with those developed at 15° to 16° it is seen that, with two exceptions, the greatest development was reached earlier at 22° to 23° C. Comparing the development at 22° to 23° with that at 29° to 30°, it is seen that there was no uniformity; in some cases the maximum was reached sooner at the former, while in others it occurred at the latter temperature. As in previous experiments, when the maximum development was reached, the numbers of protozoa gradually decreased, showing that the conditions for continuous multiplication became unfavorable.

Table XII shows the variation in the rate of multiplication of the protozoa in the different solutions from their first appearance until the first increase was noted. This increase was usually the first day after their presence was noted. There is a marked difference in the rate of multiplication of each type in each solution at every different temperature employed. No correlation is noted between the multiplication of the small ciliates in like infusions inoculated with the same soil incubated at different temperatures. The same statement holds true for the multiplication of the flagellates and large ciliates. In like

manner there is no correlation between the multiplication of the different protozoa (all types) in the different infusions inoculated with the same soil and incubated at the same temperature. For nearly all inoculations the greatest multiplication of the flagellates is noted at 22° to 23° C. In some solutions the small ciliates multiplied faster at 15° to 16°, in others at 22° to 23°, and in still others at 29° to 30° C.

TABLE XII.—Rate of multiplication of the protozoa in culture solutions from the time of their first appearance until the first increase was noted. This period was usually 24 hours

INCUBATED AT 6 TO 7° C.

Solution No.	Large ciliates.	Small ciliates.	Flagellates.
1111	2.0	3.0	5.0
1112	6.0	1.2
1113	0	9.0
1114	2.0
1115	5.0	13.0
1116	40.0

INCUBATED AT 15 TO 16° C.

1121	0	4.0	10.0
1122	5.0	148.0
1123	2.0	4.5
1124	7.5	3.0
1125	2.0	2.0	18.0
1126	24.0	14.0

INCUBATED AT 22 TO 23° C.

1131	0	2.3	2.0
1132	4.0	580.0	3.0
1133	0	82.0
1134	2.5	4.5
1135	8.0	130.0
1136	3.3	1,408.0

INCUBATED AT 29 TO 30° C.

1141	0	6.0	2.0
1142	2.0	250.0	2.0
1143	2.0	1.5
1144	0	0	2.0
1145	5.0	4.0
1146	380.0	406.0

If in the future study of soil protozoa quantitative determinations are to be made, definite standards of quantity and of certain character (moist or dry) of soil must be taken in order that all the results will be comparable. Likewise, a definite standard of inoculation temperature and the kind of culture media must be fixed. The time at which the examinations are made must be uniform.

In so far as the data presented in Parts II and III show on the gram basis the greatest development of certain types of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates is secured from 1-gm. inoculations in 100 c. c. of solution. However, 5 gm. of soil would be more representative than 1 gm; and, as shown by Cauda and Sangiorgi (2), on the gram basis

larger numbers of organisms would develop from this quantity than from larger amounts of soil.

In comparing the development of protozoa from moist and dry soils (Part II) very little difference in the development of small ciliates, large ciliates, and flagellates was found, but in order that the conditions of the soil protozoa would not be varied in this experiment moist soil was used.

Cunningham (3, p. 14) found 22° C. the optimum temperature for the development of most soil protozoa. In this problem a great variation was found. The greatest uniformity of results in the development of small ciliates was obtained at 15° to 16°. With flagellates 6° to 7° was the optimum in some solutions and 15° to 16° in others. As compared with the development at 22° to 23°, a much longer period of time was required for the maximum numbers of protozoa to develop at the temperatures lower than 22° to 23°. It may be added that 22° is more convenient to maintain in the laboratory.

In culture solutions the conditions are much different compared to those found in the soil, as was already suggested by Martin (12). Of the solutions examined the hay infusion proved the most satisfactory for the development of large numbers of organisms, the total being much greater than those appearing in the natural soil. It is probable that the results produced by adding the soil to tap water more nearly represents the conditions as they are found in the soil.

In order that the results may be comparable, the examinations of the inoculated culture must be made periodically every day. The length of time a culture should be incubated will vary with the temperature of incubation, kind and amount of soil, and kind of medium. Under certain conditions some types of protozoa appear on the first day after inoculation; these would multiply very rapidly and probably depress the development of other forms. Again, certain types might not appear until the eighteenth or twenty-second day after inoculation. With few exceptions, however, at temperatures of 15°, 22°, and 29° C., the maximum development of small ciliates and flagellates in the culture solutions inoculated with the soils examined was not reached until the thirteenth or fourteenth day. The writer is of the opinion that the development in artificial culture solutions in the first five to seven days would more nearly show the numbers of cysts of small ciliates and flagellates present in the soil.

The conditions under which the experiments have been carried out seemed to have been very unfavorable for the development of large ciliates and amoebæ. In the soils examined, however, cysts of large ciliates could be readily seen under the microscope. Cauda and Sangiorgi (2, p. 396) developed many amoebæ in Giltay's, Omelianski's, Hiltner's, peptone, and mannite solutions, showing that these organisms were present in the soils which they examined.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE DIFFERENT TYPES OF SOIL PROTOZOA

That the temperature influences the development of the different types of soil protozoa in culture solutions is shown by the fact that the numbers of the different species developed varied a great deal. The forms studied tallied best with the descriptions of *Colpoda cucullus*, *Colpidium colpoda*, *Vorticella* spp., *Prorodon ovum*, and *Glaucoma* sp. They appeared in some of each series of solutions incubated at 15°, 22°, and 29°. Of these organisms *Colpoda cucullus* was the only one that developed at 6°. At this temperature only a few appeared on the fourteenth day, and these were present until the twentieth day. Other ciliates that developed at 6° were *Paramecia* spp. At 15° *Colpoda cucullus* was the most numerous form of the ciliate type. These first appeared on the sixth day and were present throughout the experiment. Incubating at 15° was not very favorable for the development of the vorticellæ. They appeared on the fifth day and were still seen on the thirtieth day after incubation. Besides species of *Colpoda*, *Vorticella*, *Prorodon*, and *Glaucoma*, long slender ciliates, possibly *Condylostoma patens* Müll., were very numerous at 22° and 29°. At 22° species of *Vorticella*, *Colpoda*, *Prorodon*, and *Glaucoma* appeared on the third day. The vorticellæ were present until the twenty-sixth day after inoculation, while the other forms were still seen on the thirtieth day. The temperature of 29° was more favorable for the development of *Colpoda cucullus*, *Prorodon ovum*, and *Glaucoma* sp., but at this temperature many vorticellæ were also developed. This last-named form appeared on the second day and was noted until the fifteenth day after inoculation. The most prominent flagellates were species of *Monas* and *Bodos*.

As previously noted in Part III, all the small ciliates do not encyst when the conditions become unfavorable. This was again noted, for in the solutions incubated at 29° many dead individuals of *Prorodon ovum* and *Glaucoma* sp. were seen on the seventh day after inoculation.

The higher temperatures of incubation were the most favorable for the early excystment of small ciliates.

That the *Vorticella* cysts are present in field soils which have received applications of manure is shown by the fact that these organisms developed in culture solutions inoculated with the fertilized soil. The numbers, however, were very small as compared to those developed in solutions inoculated with greenhouse soil.

Upon several occasions during the examination of the solutions an individual of *Colpoda cucullus* was seen in the process of being excysted.

The conditions under which this experiment was carried out seemed to be unfavorable for the development of amœbæ, as none of these organisms developed in any of the media employed.

SUMMARY OF PART IV

Under the experimental conditions described above it has been found that:

(1) A temperature of 15° to 16° C. is the most favorable for the development of small ciliates.

(2) At 15° to 16° hay infusion is the most favorable culture solution for the development of small ciliates.

(3) The maximum numbers of small ciliates are developed at an earlier period in dried blood than in hay infusion.

(4) The maximum development of small ciliates at 6° to 7° varies from 17 to 30 days after inoculation.

(5) At 15° to 16° the maximum development of small ciliates occurs from seventh to twenty-fifth day after inoculation.

(6) The small ciliates develop sooner at the higher than at the lower temperatures.

(7) The lower temperatures retard the development of small ciliates.

(8) Dried-blood extract and hay infusion are unfavorable media for the development of large ciliates.

(9) Large ciliates will develop at all the temperatures noted if conditions are favorable.

(10) Many flagellates developed at all temperatures noted.

(11) The maximum development of flagellates occurs at 6° to 7° in dried-blood extract and at 15° to 16° in hay infusion.

(12) Hay infusion is the most favorable medium for the development of maximum numbers of flagellates.

(13) The higher temperatures encourage early development of flagellates, while lowest temperatures retard their development.

(14) At all temperatures the flagellates develop sooner than the ciliates.

(15) At 15° to 16° the flagellates appear four or five days before the ciliates.

(16) As soon as the maximum development is reached there is a gradual decrease in the numbers of all forms of protozoa.

(17) Species of Colpoda, Vorticella, Prorodon, and Glaucoma develop at 15° to 16° , at 22° to 23° , and at 29° to 30° .

(18) A few individuals of Colpoda and Paramecium develop at 6° to 7° .

(19) At 15° to 16° C. Colpoda is the most numerous ciliated form.

(20) The temperature of 29° to 30° is very favorable for the development of species of Colpoda, Prorodon, and Glaucoma.

(21) Some ciliates die when conditions become unfavorable.

(22) Vorticella eysts are present in field soils which have received applications of manure.

(23) Hay infusion and dried-blood extract are unfavorable media for the development of amœbæ.

GENERAL CONCLUSIONS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF SOIL PROTOZOA IN ARTIFICIAL CULTURE SOLUTIONS

From all data which have been presented in this paper the writer feels that he is warranted in concluding that—

(1) The development of soil protozoa in artificial culture solutions inoculated with the soils which have been examined varies with the conditions of the problem.

(2) The development of soil protozoa in artificial culture solutions varies with the kind of media employed.

(3) For each quantity of soil which is used for inoculation there is a variation in the development of protozoa.

(4) Drying the soil affects the development of soil protozoa.

(5) There is a variation in protozoan development in every greenhouse soil.

(6) Field soil causes differences in the protozoan development.

(7) At each temperature of incubation there is a variation in the development of soil protozoa.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) BRÉAL, E.
1896. Décomposition des matières végétales en présence de l'eau et de la terre. *In Ann. Agron.*, t. 22, no. 8, p. 362-375.
- (2) CAUDA, A., and SANGIORI, G.
1914. Untersuchungen über die Mikrofauna der Böden aus Reisgegenden. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 42, No. 15/16, p. 393-398.
- (3) CUNNINGHAM, Andrew.
1914. Studies on soil protozoa. II. Some of the activities of protozoa. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 42, No. 1/4, p. 8-27.
- (4) — and LÖHNIS, Felix.
1914. Studies on soil protozoa. I. The growth of protozoa on various media and the effect of heat on active and encysted forms. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 39, No. 23/25, p. 596-610.
- (5) EHRENBERG, C. G.
1837. Die Fossilen Infusorien und die Lebendige Dammerde. 27 p., 2 col. pl., 1 tab. Berlin.
- (6) FRANCÉ, R. H.
1911. Studien über edaphische Organismen. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 32, No. 1/2, p. 1-7.
- (7) GOODEY, T.
1911. A contribution to our knowledge of the protozoa of the soil. *In Proc. Roy. Soc. [London]*, s. B, v. 84, no. 579, p. 165-180, 1 fig., pl. 4.
- (8) —
1914. Investigations on the protozoa of soil. (Abstract.) *In Rpt. 83d Meeting Brit. Assoc. Adv. Sci.*, 1913, p. 775.
- (9) GREEFF, Richard.
1866. Ueber einige in der Erde lebende Amöben und andere Rhizopoden. *In Arch. Mikros. Anat.*, Bd. 2, p. 299-331, pl. 17-18.
- (10) KILLER, J.
1913. Die Zählung der Protozoen im Boden. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 37, No. 17/21, p. 521-534.

- (11) LÖHNIS, Felix.
1911. Landwirtschaftlich-bakteriologisches Praktikum ... 156 p., 39 fig., 3 pl. Berlin.
- (12) MARTIN, C. H.
1913. The presence of protozoa in soils. *In Nature*, v. 91, no. 2266, p. 111.
- (13) ——— and LEWIN, K. R.
1914. Some notes on soil protozoa. *In Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London*, s. B, v. 205, p. 77-94, pl. 5-6.
- (14) MÜLLER, P. T.
1912. Über eine neue, rasch arbeitende Methode der bakteriologischen Wasseruntersuchung und ihre Anwendung auf die Prüfung von Brunnen und Filterwerken. *In Arch. Hyg.*, Bd. 75, Heft 4/5, p. 189-223, 2 fig.
- (15) RAHN, Otto.
1913. Methode zur Schätzung der Anzahl von Protozoen im Boden. *In Centbl. Bakt. [etc.]*, Abt. 2, Bd. 36, No. 15/18, p. 419-421.
- (16) RUSSELL, E. J., and HUTCHINSON, H. B.
1909. The effect of partial sterilization of soil on the production of plant food. *In Jour. Agr. Sci.*, v. 3, pt. 2, p. 111-144, pl. 8-9.
- (17) ———
1913. The effect of partial sterilization of soil on the production of plant food. II. The limitation of bacterial numbers in soils and its consequences. *In Jour. Agr. Sci.*, v. 5, pt. 2, p. 152-221.
- (18) ——— and PETHERBRIDGE, F. R.
1912. Investigations on "sickness" in soil. II. "Sickness" in glasshouse soils. *In Jour. Agr. Sci.*, v. 5, pt. 1, p. 86-111, pl. 2-5.
- (19) WOLFF, Max.
1909. Der Einfluss der Bewässerung auf die Fauna der Ackerkrume mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Bodenprotozoen. *In Mitt. Kaiser Wilhelms Inst. Landw. Bromberg*, Bd. 1, Heft 4, p. 332-391, 49 fig.

TYLENCHUS SIMILIS, THE CAUSE OF A ROOT DISEASE OF SUGAR CANE AND BANANA

By N. A. CORB,
*Technologist in Charge, Office of Agricultural Technology,
Bureau of Plant Industry*

OCCURRENCE OF TYLENCHUS SIMILIS IN FIJI AND HAWAII

A serious outbreak of a disease among bananas (*Musa sapientum*) in Fiji in 1890-91 caused the planters great uneasiness. At the request of Sir John Thurston, British High Commissioner of the Pacific, the Department of Agriculture of New South Wales, Australia, undertook an investigation, which was conducted by the writer. Most of the banana plants examined grew in the gardens adjacent to Government House at Suva, Fiji, where experimental plantings were made in connection with the disease. During the investigations roots of the banana and the soil about the roots were examined with a view to discovering possible causes of the disease. It was during this particular part of the investigation that a new species of nematode was discovered, to which the name "*Tylenchus similis*" was applied. Only the male was seen.

Nothing further was discovered concerning this species of *Tylenchus* until 1907, when, during a visit to sugar plantations on Kauai, one of the Hawaiian Islands, the same nematode was again found by the writer, this time infesting the roots of sugar cane (*Saccharum officinarum*). Both sexes of the nematode were found in abundance, and to these specimens, which at the time appeared to represent a new species, the name "*Tylenchus biformis*" was applied. *T. biformis* proved to be a true parasite and was found to be sufficiently injurious to the roots of sugar cane to justify a careful examination.

The nematode appeared to attack the roots at or near the tips, with the result that the root soon succumbed, thus compelling the plant to throw out new roots, which in turn became infested. The attacks of the nematode resulted in striking lesions, easily discoverable whenever the attacks were of a pronounced character. The tissues of the root lost their white or colorless appearance and took on first a cinnabar-red color, then a reddish purple color. The latter was succeeded by a dark purplish red, and this in turn by a purplish black. The discolored areas were sometimes several millimeters in length. In advanced cases the tissue of the axial part of the root was attacked, and large numbers of the nematodes were readily discovered in the colored cavities caused by their activities. The seriousness of the result was increased by the fact

that the breach created by the nematodes afforded entrance to fungous and microbic enemies.

It will thus be seen that this Hawaiian species of *Tylenchus* was found under circumstances conclusively proving its parasitic nature. Every degree of infestation was found in the sugar-cane roots, from those which upon external examination, even with a lens, appeared to be in a sound condition to roots spotted with numerous dark infested areas, each harboring scores of the nematode parasites. Sections of the roots showed that the cavities inhabited by the nematodes were colored or blackened on the inside and that it was this discoloration which gave rise to the outward appearances already described. All stages of the nematode were found in the cavities, including full-grown males and females, and it was plain that this species of *Tylenchus* lived generation after generation largely in the roots of the sugar cane, though it would undoubtedly be necessary, in the natural course of events, for the progeny sooner or later to remove from one root to another or from one plant to another. It was therefore to be expected that nematodes of this species would be found in soil adjacent to the roots of sugar cane, although the investigations made at the time did not disclose any stage of the parasite living free in the soil.

OCCURRENCE OF *TYLENCHUS SIMILIS* IN JAMAICA

Recently this nematode disease has been reported from the Island of Jamaica. The following are extracts from letters written by Mr. S. F. Ashby, Microbiologist of the Department of Agriculture, Jamaica:

I send you in a carton some fragments of diseased portions of rhizomes and true stems of the Jamaica (Gros Michel) banana preserved in dilute formalin. The disease, locally called "black head," shows as a black rot working into the tissue from the surface usually from around the insertions of diseased roots; the roots when attacked show depressed dark florets at the surface, and within the cortex a purple rot disintegrating in the older parts.

The disease is widespread here owing to suckers for planting being frequently dug from affected stools; it is responsible for much backward growth and short bunches on land depending on rainfall in moderate or bad seasons.

Dr. Ervin F. Smith, after an examination of the material accompanying Mr. Ashby's letter, was of the opinion that the disease was not caused by *Fusarium* spp.

DESCRIPTION OF *TYLENCHUS SIMILIS*¹

A comparison of the species of *Tylenchus* found in Hawaii with the other species known at the time seemed to indicate that it was not identical with any form previously described. It was, however, pointed

¹ For an explanation of the formula used in descriptions of nematodes see Cobb, N. A., Antarctic Marine Free-Living Nematodes of the Shackleton Expedition, p. 6, Baltimore, 1914 (Contrib. Sci. Nematology, 1).

The illustrations were prepared under the author's personal supervision by Mr. W. E. Chambers.

out that the males and females were so unlike that, had they not been found in conjunction and under such circumstances as to preclude the possibility of error in assigning them to one and the same species, it is probable that they would have been considered to be separate species. The remarkable similarity of the male to those of the species of *Tylenchus* previously found about banana roots in Fiji did not escape notice, but as the Fijian observations were incomplete, no females of the Fijian species having been seen, the question of the identity of *Tylenchus similis* and *Tylenchus bififormis* was not raised. The present investigation establishes the identity of these two species. The species should therefore bear the prior name "*Tylenchus similis* Cobb, 1892."

This nematode is, in the opinion of the writer, clearly proved to be the primary cause of a disease of the sugar cane. Mr. S. F. Ashby, in a recent letter, writes as follows concerning its relation to banana:

I at first attributed the attack [on banana] to the joint action of a *Fusarium* and a coli-like bacterium frequently isolated from the rot; inoculation of either or both failed to cause a similar rot. Helworms¹ were always found present, and on going through samples from various sources again I invariably came across the same species in the advance margin of the rot both in rhizomes and roots.

The specimens forwarded by Mr. Ashby contained no other organism that would appear to have caused the lesions.

The following description of *Tylenchus similis* is derived from specimens forwarded from Jamaica by Mr. Ashby in diseased banana tissues.

Tylenchus similis Cobb. ($\rightarrow \frac{3.1}{2.5} - \frac{11.5}{3.2} - \frac{18.1}{3.4} - \frac{59.2^m}{3.8} - \frac{88.1}{2.8} \rightarrow$ 7 mm. The moderately thick layers of the transparent, naked, colorless cuticle are traversed by somewhat more than 400 transverse striae, which are not further resolvable. The transverse striae are interrupted on the lateral fields by conspicuous wings, the presence of which is indicated by four longitudinal striae taking up a space equal to one-fourth to one-third the diameter of the body. The two outer of these lines are more conspicuous than the two inner, inasmuch as they are somewhat wider and more refractive. The two inner lines are sometimes faint and occupy about one-fourth to one-fifth the width of the entire wing space. The outer margins of the wings are almost imperceptibly crenate, a feature which is associated with the transverse striae of the cuticle; the inner lines are also crenate, but even less markedly so. These wings begin opposite the base of the spear, where, however, they are not so pronounced as along the median regions, and extend backward to near the end of the tail. They maintain their maximum development in a rather uniform way from opposite the nerve ring to a little behind the anus. The posterior portion of the neck is subcylindroid, while the anterior portion is convex-conoid, and ends in a rounded head, which in the

¹ Nematodes.

female has a flattish, hemispherical lip region, set off by a more or less distinct constriction. The striæ begin to diminish in size in the neighborhood of the base of the spear, and are only about one-third to one-half as wide at the base of the lips as they are farther back. These transverse striæ are so pronounced a feature that they give to the contour of the body a crenate appearance, especially toward the posterior extremity. The lip region also is minutely transversely striated, the number of labial striæ being about 8 to 10. There are arched radial ceratinous elements in the lip region, but these have not been accurately counted. It seems likely there are about six of them. The mouth opening is very small, and the vestibule is strengthened by ceratinous elements which serve as a guide to the spear. This latter is somewhat longer than the base of the head is wide and in the females at least is a strongly developed and doubtless very efficient organ. It may be divided into two regions the posterior of which is cylindrical, and ends at its hinder extremity in a strongly developed threefold bulb, about one-fourth as wide as the corresponding portion of the head, and to which are attached muscles that pass forward to near the outer portion of the base of the lip region. The anterior half of the spear is narrower, ends anteriorly in a somewhat blunt point, and is hardly half as wide as the larger posterior cylindrical portion. At the base of the spear the œsophageal tube begins. At this point it is about two-fifths to one-half the width of the corresponding portion of the neck. It has this diameter until near the median bulb, where it diminishes in such a way that at the actual junction with the bulb the diameter of the definite constriction separating it from the bulb is only one-fourth to one-sixth that of the neck. The median bulb is fairly well developed in the female, though much deteriorated in the male. In the female it is elongated to ellipsoidal in form, and about two-thirds as wide as the corresponding portion of the neck. It is supplied with a fairly well-developed but somewhat simple refractive valvular apparatus having a diameter nearly one-third as great as that of the bulb itself. Behind the bulb the œsophagus is again narrow—about one-sixth as wide as the corresponding portion of the neck. It soon widens out a little so as to become more than half as wide as the base of the neck. It joins the intestine in a somewhat indefinite manner. The length of the posterior part of the œsophagus may be judged by the fact that the distance from the anterior margin of the median bulb to the end of the œsophagus equals nearly half the length of the neck. In stained specimens the beginning of the intestine is indicated by the special cardiac cells of the intestine, which stain more strongly than the cells immediately behind them (fig. 1). The intestine is made up of cells which are packed with spherical granules of various sizes and of more than one kind. The smallest of the granules of the smaller sort have a diameter considerably less than the width of one of the striæ; the larger are two or three times as wide. The fatty granules or accretions of the intestine, the granules

of the larger sort, are of very much larger size and give to the organ its peculiar pearly appearance.

From the inconspicuous anus the rectum leads inward and forward a distance about equal to the anal body diameter. The tail is conoid to the rather blunt roughly conoid terminus, which has a diameter about one-third or one-fourth as great as that of the base of the tail. On each lateral line, a little in front of the beginning of the middle third of the tail, there is a minute pore, which is possibly homologous with the single papilla found in the corresponding position on the tail of the male. The final striæ are rather indefinite, so that the terminus appears almost as if not striated. The lateral fields appear to be more than one-third as wide as the body. The excretory pore is rather conspicuous, as is the duct leading to it. Both walls of the duct are distinctly refractive, and its lumen may readily be seen. The pore is located about as far behind the median bulb as the base of the spear is in front of it. The duct leads backward a distance equal to three to four body widths, and there joins the rather small ellipsoidal renette cell located on the left-hand side of the body. The exact details of this renette cell are not yet clear. There is a conspicuous refractive cell of rather uniform granular texture located just behind the excretory pore. This cell is longer than the body is wide, about one-third as wide as long, and has a strongly refractive nucleus about one-fourth as wide as itself. Closely associated with this cell are two others of similar form but somewhat smaller, the three forming a close tandem series twice as long as the body is wide. As a rule, the two posterior cells of this series exhibit peculiarities not shown by the anterior cell; they do not stain so strongly with carmine, and in general are less conspicuous. These three glandular cells empty through a narrow duct which enters the base of the œsophagus in the rear of the nerve ring, passes through the median bulb, being diverted to pass around the central valve on its dorsal side, and extends thence onward to near the




FIG. 1.—*Tylenchus similis*. Nearly adult female. a, Lip region; b, spear guide; c, 3-bulbed base of spear; d, ampulla, salivary gland; e, œsophageal lumen; f, œsophagus; g, median bulb; h, nerve cells; i, nerve ring; j, excretory pore; k, initial intestinal cells; l, anterior salivary gland; m, m., end of ovary; n, ovum; o, renette duct; p, posterior salivary gland; q, fat granule, intestine; r, renette cell (?); s, terminus; t, caudal pore; u, vulva; v, anus; w, cremate cuticle; z, z, spermatozoa.

base of the spear, where the duct enlarges to form a distinct, elongated ampulla, emptying into the oesophageal lumen immediately behind the base of the spear. From the somewhat broadly elevated but otherwise not very conspicuous vulva the vagina leads inward at right angles to the ventral surface fully halfway across the body, where it joins the two uteri, one of which extends forward and the other backward. In the females found infesting sugar-cane roots on the Island of Kauai, in Hawaii, the thin-shelled eggs were observed to be about twice as long as the body is wide and fully five-sixths as wide as the body. They begin segmentation before deposition. The blastomeres are rather coarsely granular.

Male formula. $\left(\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \\ 1.8 \end{array} \right) - \frac{12}{2.6} - \frac{18}{2.8} - \frac{14}{2.8} - \frac{63}{22.1} \quad 7 \text{ mm.}$ The male


differs in many important respects from the female, not only in the form of the tail end but in that of the anterior extremity as well. The neck of the male tapers rather regularly from the intestine forward, though it decreases rather more rapidly in diameter anteriorly, where it ends in a short, somewhat subcylindrical or hemispherical lip region set off by a deep and distinct constriction. This lip region appears to be composed of about the same number of striae as that of the female, and to have the same general structure in spite of its difference in form and size. The spear of the male, however, is very weakly developed and is nothing like so efficient an organ as that of the female; in fact, at times it is difficult to convince oneself that the male really possesses an oral spear. From the structure of the mouth of the male it appears somewhat doubtful whether he is able to make his way unaided into the tissues of the host plant. It seems more probable that he works his way into the cavities already created by the voracity of the female. The bulbous base of the spear is no wider than one of the nearby annules of the cuticle, and the shaft at its widest part is considerably narrower than any of the annules of the cuticle. It tapers anteriorly to an excessively fine narrow point. The wings of the male are similar to those of the female, but are hardly so strongly developed (fig. 2). The tail tapers from some distance in front of the anus and diminishes in size rather regularly to near the blunt terminus. The posterior portion is subcylindroid and ends in a bluntly conoid terminus, which is about half as wide as the base of the tail, and which, like that of the female, is not provided with a spinneret. The bursal flaps spring from the submedian lines at a point just in front of the proximal ends of the spicula. When the body is seen in profile, the bursa extends beyond the ventral contour from opposite the proximal ends of the spicula to near the middle of the tail and continues almost to the end of the tail. Near the junction of the middle and anterior thirds of the tail there are two ventrally submedian, finger-shaped papillae, which extend into the bursa and appear to reach about halfway to its margin. The bursa, like the cuticle, is striated, and its margin is crenate. The

regular striations of the cuticle extend nearly to the terminus. The two equal, slightly arcuate, or nearly straight, tapering spicula are about one and one-third times as long as the anal body diameter. Their proximal ends are cephalated by constriction. At their widest part, which is near the constriction, they are about one-fifth as wide as the corresponding portion of the body. Distally they taper to a slightly blunt point. The accessory pieces are about half as long as the spicula and are placed parallel to them. Passing inward the accessory piece increases in thickness for some distance and then near the middle begins to taper and at the same time to curve away from the spicula almost imperceptibly. The accessory piece appears to have attached to it muscles which pass backward, but the distal attachment of these muscles has not yet been made out. The single testis extends forward and has its tapering blind end located considerably behind the middle of the body. The proximal portion of the testis is about one-half as wide as the corresponding portion of the body. The males are more rare than the females, the ratio appearing to be about 1 male to every 5 to 10 females.

It is a rather remarkable feature of this species that the young have tails more blunt than the adults, the reverse being usually the case with nematodes.

Habitat. (1) About the roots of bananas (Fiji); (2) in the roots of sugar cane (Kauai, Hawaii); (3) in the roots of bananas (Jamaica).

FIG. 2.—*Tylenchus similis*. Male. a, Lip region; c, base of the spicula; e, esophageal lumen; f, esophagus; g, vestige of bulb; h, nerve cell; i, nerve ring; j, excretory pore; k, a nerve cell; m, end of testis; n, fat granule of intestine; o, terminus; p, buccal papilla; q, accessory piece; r, spermatozoa; s, left spiculum; z, bursa.



CONCLUSION

On the basis of our present knowledge it is impossible to suggest the original habitat of this nematode. In view of its habits, its known distribution indicates that it is adapted to tropical and subtropical conditions of widely different character. Its infestation of plants differing from each other so widely as banana and sugar cane leads to the suspicion that it may be another addition to the already formidable list of nematode parasites which adapt themselves to a great variety of conditions. Its presence in Jamaica suggests the possibility of its introduction thence into Porto Rico and the southern portions of the mainland of the United States, where it would probably find suitable host plants in the sugar cane and might be expected to attack other plants.

In one way this investigation of the anatomy of *Tylenchus similis* adds materially to our knowledge of the group of Tylenchi to which it belongs. For a long time observers have noted in this group the presence of puzzling tissues or organs near the base of the neck, and these have been described and figured in a way that indicated a very incomplete and unsatisfactory knowledge of their real nature; in fact, they have always been regarded simply as constituents of the cardiac bulb. These researches prove that in *Tylenchus similis* these peculiarities of the base of the neck are due to the presence of a threefold gland emptying through the lumen of the oesophagus near the base of the spear.

What appear to be homologous organs are known in other genera and are regarded as "salivary glands," admittedly more on the basis of their structure than on the results of physiological tests. However, the morphological evidence is very strongly in favor of the conclusions reached.

The presence of such organs has not hitherto been noted in *Tylenchus* or any nearly related genus. The details of the organ are difficult to follow, but once they had been demonstrated it became evident that a similar organ exists in other species of *Tylenchus*, and it is especially interesting to note the presence of a similar organ in the well-known *T. dipsaci* Kühn, or, as it is yet more commonly known, *T. devastatrix* Kühn, the devastating nematode, so often responsible in the past for great damage to bulbous crops, such as the onion and hyacinth. This similarity in structure between *T. dipsaci* and *T. similis* makes it all the easier on structural grounds to suspect *T. similis* of becoming a serious pest whenever it gets an opportunity. Whatever may be the cause, there is no doubt of the ability of this species rapidly to break down the tissues of the plants it attacks. One may now suspect, and on very good grounds, that this ability is due not only to the battering action of the oral spear but to the chemical action of a special secretion. Entirely in accord with these ideas is the absence of this organ in the male of *T. similis*; when the oral spear deteriorates, the gland deteriorates also.

INDEX

	Page		Page
<i>Abies—</i>		Anions, antagonism between—Continued.	
<i>grandis</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	94	relation to grain yields.....	211
<i>lariocarpa</i> , host plant of <i>Herpotrichia</i>		relation to root production.....	211
<i>nigra</i>	253-253	Antagonism between Anions as Affecting	
<i>pectinata</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	94	Barley Yields on a Clay-Adobe Soil (pa-	
Acid—		per).....	201-218
formic, use with mercuric chlorid as a ger-		Anthony, R. D., and Hedrick, U. P. (paper)	
micide.....	68-85, 97-99	Inheritance of Certain Characters of	
hydrochloric, germicidal efficiency of.....	82-89	Grapes.....	315-330
hydrocyanic—		Anthrax, disinfection of hides infected with	
content in <i>Sorghum vulgare</i>	379-385	spores of.....	65-92
determination of.....	384	Apple, bitter-rot of, sources of early infec-	
in <i>Sorghum vulgare</i> , effect of available		tions of.....	59-64
nitrogen on.....	380-384	<i>Ascochyta blight</i> —	
<i>Actinomyces chromogenu</i> —		control of.....	335-340
causal organism of potato scab.....	330	description of.....	335
involution forms of.....	333-333	isolation of.....	333-334
Aerocope—		taxonomy of.....	334-335
comparison of various types of.....	348-365	<i>Ascochyta blight</i> , the Cause of Stem-	
modified standard—		Rot and Leaf-Spot of Clematis (paper).....	331-342
comparison of—		Asparagus beetle. See <i>Crioceris asparagi</i> .	
with Rettger aerocope.....	355-358	Asparagus beetle egg parasite (paper).....	303-314
with standard aerocope.....	351-354	Availability of the Nitrogen in Pacific Coast	
description of.....	347-348	Kelps (paper).....	21-28
tests of.....	354-359-361	<i>Avena sativa</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Puccinia</i>	
Rettger—		graminis.....	304-308
comparison of—		Avocado. See <i>Persea gratissima</i> .	
with modified standard aerocope.....	355-358	<i>Acetobacter chromococcum</i> —	
with standard aerocope.....	350-351	cytology of.....	225-240
description of.....	348	granules in cells of.....	228-238
tests of.....	349-350-362	<i>Bacillus—</i>	
standard—		<i>emphysematous</i> , source of infection of apple	
comparison of—		bitter-rot.....	61-64
with modified standard aerocope.....	351-354	<i>anthracis</i> , disinfection of hides infected with.....	65-92
with Rettger aerocope.....	350-351	Bacteria, measurement of precipitation of,	
description of.....	347	from air.....	363-365
<i>Agrostis</i> sp., host plant of <i>Bacterium solan-</i>		Bacterial Disease of Lettuce, A (paper).....	471-478
<i>caerum</i>	457-458	Bacteriological Study of Methods for the Dis-	
<i>Agropyron smithii</i> —		infection of Hides Infected with Anthrax	
food plant of <i>Protophthora cynosbati</i>	220-221	Spores, A (paper).....	65-92
hibernation of <i>Protophthora cynosbati</i> in.....	223	<i>Bacterium—</i>	
Air, methods of bacterial analyses of.....	343-368	<i>solanacearum</i> —	
Alfalfa hay—		causal organism of nasturtium wilt.....	451-458
chemical composition of.....	463	cultural characters of.....	456
phosphorus content of.....	463-468	desiccation of.....	456
protein content of.....	468	effect of, on tissues of <i>Tropaeolum majus</i>	453-456
Algin, forms of, in kelp.....	477-500	inoculation of.....	451-453
Alkali—		isolation of.....	453
injury to <i>Beta vulgaris</i> by.....	164-165	morphology of.....	456
neutralization of, in soils.....	213	natural methods of infection of.....	453-454
<i>Amaranthus</i> spp., food plants of <i>Diaprepes</i>		staining reactions of.....	456
<i>spendleri</i>	260-261	temperature relations of.....	456
<i>Amorpha radiata</i> , development of, in soil.....	547	<i>viridulum</i> —	
<i>Andropogon—</i>		causal organism of a bacterial disease of	
<i>furcatus</i> , hibernation of <i>Protophthora cyn-</i>		<i>Lactuca sativa</i>	477-478
<i>obtusata</i> in.....	223	cultural characters of.....	478-477
<i>soparatus</i> , hibernation of <i>Protophthora cyn-</i>		distribution of.....	477
<i>obtusata</i> in.....	222-223	isolation of.....	475-476
<i>sorghum</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes spendleri</i>		morphology of.....	477
<i>festiva</i>	263	Ball, beet seed, agency in dissemination of	
Anions, antagonism between—		<i>Phoma betae</i>	723
as affecting barley yields on a clay-adobe		Banana, root disease of.....	561-568
soil.....	201-218		

	Page		Page
Barley. See <i>Hordeum</i> .		Cajon indicum, food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i> <i>spengleri</i>	202-203
Bean—		<i>Cajanus indicus</i> , syn. <i>Cajon indicum</i>	
See <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> .		Calcium sulphate and sodium sulphate, ant-	
Bonavist. See <i>Dolichos lablab</i> .		tagonism between.....	208-211
Beet—		Cane, sugar. See <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> .	
seed ball, agency in dissemination of <i>Phoma</i>		Canker—	
<i>betae</i>	123	apple-blotch, source of infection of apple	
sugar. See <i>Beta vulgaris</i> .		bitter-rot.....	61-64
Beeble, asparagus—		Citrus.....	97-100
egg parasite of.....	303-314	Citrus, distribution of.....	97
See also <i>Tetrastichus asparagi</i> .		Illinois apple-tree, source of infection of	
host of <i>Tetrastichus asparagi</i>	303-314	apple bitter-rot.....	61-64
See also <i>Crioceris asparagi</i> .		pear-blight, source of infection of apple	
Berry, inheritance of—		bitter-rot fungus.....	61-64
form of, in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	325-326	<i>Cupriola dactylon</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i>	
size of, in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	325	<i>spengleri festinus</i>	203
<i>Beta vulgaris</i> —		<i>Capsicum annuum</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Phoma</i>	
alkali injury of.....	164-165	<i>destructura</i>	11
control of <i>Phoma betae</i> on.....	174-177	Carbohydrate, forms of, in kelp.....	47-50
damping-off of.....	135-140,	<i>Castanea vesca</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
143-144, 149, 154, 159-161, 165		Castor-oil plant. See <i>Ricinus communis</i> .	
field-rot of.....	144-145	Caterpillar, gipsy-moth. See <i>Portia dir-</i>	
food plant of <i>Pemphigus betae</i>	241-250	<i>por</i> .	
host plant of <i>Rheosporangium aphani-</i>		Cell, color substance of sap of.....	295-296
<i>matum</i>	279-292	Cellulose, percentage of, in kelp.....	50
inoculation of—		<i>Ceratonia</i> spp., food plants of <i>Diaprepes</i>	
with <i>Phoma betae</i>	143-147	<i>spengleri spengleri</i>	201
with <i>Phoma destructura</i>	11	<i>Chenopodium album</i> , food plant of <i>Pemphigus</i>	
with <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.....	153-154	<i>betae</i>	241
inoculation of leaves of—		Chlorid, mercuric, germicidal efficiency of.....	68-69,
with <i>Phyllotreta betae</i>	170-173	87-89	
with <i>Phoma betae</i>	170-173	Chloroplast, relation of, to color of plants.....	295
occurrence of <i>Phoma betae</i> on leaves of.....	169-178	Chromoplast, relation of, to color of plants.....	295
<i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp. on.....	151-159	<i>Chrysobalanus icaco</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i>	
root sickness of.....	149-152, 154, 163-164	<i>spengleri marginatus</i>	258
seed balls of, agency in dissemination of		<i>Chrysopa oculata</i> , parasite of <i>Proseophris</i>	
<i>Phoma betae</i>	173	<i>cognatus</i>	223
seedling diseases of.....	135-138	Ciliate, development of, in culture solutions.....	519-
storage-rot of.....	141-145	526, 528-531, 533-540, 543-552, 554, 556	
susceptibility of leaves of, to fungous infec-		Citrus—	
tion.....	170-173	<i>aurantiflora</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i> <i>spen-</i>	
Bitter-rot, apple, sources of early infections of.....	59-64	<i>glerti spengleri</i>	201
See <i>Amaranthus</i> spp.		<i>medica</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i> <i>spen-</i>	
Bleed—		<i>glerti festinus</i>	204
dried—		canker.....	97-100
ammonification of, comparison with kelps.....	23-29	distribution of.....	97
effect of kelps on ammonification of.....	29-33	Clematis—	
effect of kelps on nitrification of.....	29-33	<i>Duchess of Edinburgh</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta</i>	
nitrification of, comparison with kelps.....	23-29	<i>clematidis</i>	335
gipsy-moth caterpillar, pathology of.....	109-112	<i>henderoni</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta clem-</i>	
<i>Bodys</i> spp., development of, in soil.....	517	<i>atidis</i>	335
Bonazzi, A. (paper) Cytological Studies of		<i>henryi</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta clematidis</i>	335
<i>Azotobacter Chroococcum</i>	225-240	<i>Mad. Édouard André</i> , host plant of <i>Asco-</i>	
Bordeaux mixture, effect of, on leaf-spot and		<i>chyta clematidis</i>	335
stem-rot of <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	336-337	<i>Mme. Baron Viillard</i> , host plant of <i>Asco-</i>	
<i>Bobryis</i> sp., occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	103	<i>chyta clematidis</i>	335
Briggs, L. J., and Shantz, H. L. (paper) In-		<i>paniculata</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta clem-</i>	
fluence of Hybridization and Cross-Fertiliza-		<i>atidis</i>	331-335
tion on the Water Requirement of Plants.....	301-402	<i>ramona</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta clematidis</i>	
Brown, N. A. (paper) A Bacterial Disease of		spp.....	335-342
Lettuce.....	475-478	host plants of <i>Ascochyta clematidis</i>	331-342
Bryan, M. K. (paper) A Nasturtium Wilt		leaf-spot of.....	331-342
Caused by Bacterium <i>Solanacearum</i>	451-458	control of.....	335-340
Butter, influence of <i>Opuntia</i> spp., on quality		stem-rot of.....	331-342
of.....	423-424	control of.....	335-340

	Page		Page
<i>Clematis</i> —Continued.		Crown-rot, relation of, to seedling diseases of	
<i>virginiana</i> , host plant of <i>Ascochyta clematidina</i>	335	<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	235-168
Cobb, N. A. (paper), Tylenchus Similis, the Cause of a Root Disease of Sugar Cane and Bananas.....	561-568	Cytological Studies of Azotobacter Chroococcum (paper).....	228-249
<i>Coffea</i> spp., food plants of <i>Diaprepes spengleri abbreviatus</i>	363	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i> , host plant of <i>Puccinia graminis</i>	194-195
Color—		Damping-off—	
cell-sap.....	295-296	description of.....	135
heredity of, in <i>Phlox drummondii</i>	293-302	occurrence on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	135-140
inheritance of, in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	307-323	143-144, 149, 154-155-161, 165	
nature of, in plants.....	294-296	<i>Datura tatula</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	52
plastid.....	295-296	Degree of Resemblance of Parents and Offspring with Respect to Birth as Twins for Registered Shropshire Sheep (paper).....	479-530
<i>Colpodium colpoda</i> , development of, in soil.....	516	<i>Diaprepes</i> —	
<i>Colpoda cucullata</i> , development of, in soil.....	527	<i>family</i>	263
327, 543, 541-550		<i>spengleri</i>	256-263
541-550		description of.....	256
<i>Compulsoria coccinella</i> , parasite of <i>Perikletia dispar</i>	108	distribution of.....	257
<i>Cordylophoma patens</i> , development of, in soil.....	585	food plants of.....	259-263
Contribution to the Life History of Spongospora Subterranea, A (paper).....	265-273	immature stages of.....	257
Corn—		SPD.....	253-266
chemical composition of.....	463	classification of.....	256
chop—		control of.....	263
analysis of.....	439-440	distribution of.....	255
energy value of.....	413-414	varieties of.....	257-263
nutrients of, consumed by dairy cows.....	440-442	<i>abbreviatus</i>	261-262
Guinea. See <i>Andropogon sorghum</i> .		<i>comma</i>	259-260
Indian. See <i>Zea mays</i> .		<i>dreadnaut</i>	263
phosphorus content of.....	465-468	<i>feticus</i>	262-263
protein content of.....	468	<i>marginalis</i>	258
<i>Corticium saium</i> , var. <i>solani</i> . See <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.		<i>spendleri</i>	260-261
Cottonseed—		Disinfectant, germicidal efficiency of.....	67-70
hull—		<i>Datis hex tubata</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes spengleri</i>	263
effect of—		Dung, agency in dissemination of <i>Phoma belae</i>	173-174
on body weights of dairy cows.....	412-439		
on milk production.....	411-436-437	Edson, H. A. (paper)—	
energy value of.....	413	Rheosporangium Aphanidermatum, a New Genus and Species of Fungus Parasitic on Sugar Beets and Radishes.....	279-292
influence of, on milk fat.....	437-473	Seedling Diseases of Sugar Beets and Their Relation to Root Rot and Crown-Rot.....	214-168
nutrients of, digested by dairy cows.....	443-444	Effect of Temperature on Germination and Growth of the Common Potato-Scab Organism.....	159-134
nutritive value of.....	416-417	Effect on Soil Moisture of Changes in the Surface Tension of the Soil Solution Brought About by the Addition of Soluble Salts.....	187-192
meal—		Eggplant. See <i>Solanum melongena</i> .	
ammonification of, comparison with kelps.....	23-29	Eggs—	
analysis of.....	439-440	<i>mentisii</i> —	
energy value of.....	413-414	forms of nitrogen in.....	46
nitritification of, comparison with kelps.....	23-29	hydrolysis of.....	50
nutrients of—		sulphur in.....	51
consumed by dairy cows.....	440-442	spp., organic constituents of.....	39-56
digested by dairy cows.....	443-444	<i>Elymus</i> —	
Cottonwood. See <i>Populus</i> spp.		<i>candescens</i> , food plant of <i>Prospothrips cognatus</i>	271
Cow, dairy—		<i>virginicus</i> , food plant of <i>Prospothrips cognatus</i>	271
amount of nutrients digested by.....	413-442-444	<i>Enckes Is pupa</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
effect of different feeds on body weight of.....	411-415-437-439		
effect of different feeds on milk production of.....	411-435-437		
energy value of feed of.....	413-414		
prickly-pears as a feed for.....	403-410		
<i>Cricocera asparagi</i> , host of <i>Tetrastichus asparagi</i>	303-314		
Cross-pollination—			
influence of, on the water requirement of plants.....	391-402		
of <i>Phlox drummondii</i>	296-301		

	Page		Page
Ensilage, means of control of <i>Phoma betae</i> on		Grass—	
<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	177	Bahama. See <i>Capriola dactylon</i> .	
Errata.....	V	Bermuda. See <i>Capriola dactylon</i> .	
<i>Erythra subterranea</i> , syn. <i>Spongospora subter-</i>		food plants of <i>Diaprepes shenkleri</i>	260
<i>ranea</i>		orchard. See <i>Dactylis glomerata</i> .	
<i>Euchroma mexicana</i> —		Griffiths, D., et al. (paper) Prickly-Pears as	
comparison of dry matter produced by hy-		a Feed for Dairy Cows.....	408-450
brid and parents of.....	398	Grindley, H. S., et al. (paper) Phosphorus	
comparison of water requirement of hybrid		Metabolism of Lambs Fed a Ration of	
and parents of.....	394-396	Alfalfa Hay, Corn, and Luscious Meal.....	459-473
water requirement of.....	394-396		
<i>Euglena</i> spp., development of, in soil.....	542	Hesse, C. H. (paper) <i>Pseudomonas Citri</i> , the	
		Cause of Citrus Canker.....	97-100
Feces—		Heat, dry, means of control of <i>Phoma betae</i> on	
cow, analyses of.....	445	<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	174-175
lamb—		Hedrick, U. P., and Anthony, R. D. (paper).	
analysis of.....	463-464	Inheritance of Certain Characters of	
phosphorus content of.....	463-472	Grapes.....	315-330
Feed—		Inheritance of Color in <i>Pbiox Drummondii</i>	
analyses of.....	439-440, 445	(paper).....	293-302
effect of—		Herpotricha—	
on body weights of dairy cows.....	411-417, 437-439	<i>nigra</i> , comparison with <i>Neopeckia coulteri</i> ..	251
on milk production of dairy cows.....	411-435, 437	<i>quingensis</i> —	
energy value of.....	412-414	description of.....	259
nutritive value of.....	416-417	distribution of.....	252-253
phosphorus content of.....	465	Hide —	
Feretic. See <i>Sorghum vulgare</i> .		disinfection of, effect on tanning.....	90-91
Fiber, wool—		infected with anthrax spores, disinfection of.....	65-92
breaking stress of.....	380-382, 390	Hoagland, D. R. (paper) Organic Constitu-	
elastic limit of.....	382-384, 391	ents of Pacific Coast Kelps.....	59-58
tensile strength of.....	381-382	Hordeum—	
testing apparatus, description of.....	384-385	<i>jubatum</i> , food plant of <i>Protophthora con-</i>	
Field-rot, occurrence on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	144-145	<i>nata</i>	222
Filter—		spp.—	
liquid, effect of, on bacterial count of air.....	358-359	inoculation of, with <i>Puccinia graminis</i> ..	754
sand, value of, in bacterial analyses of air.....	349	yields of, affected by antagonism of	
Flr—		anions.....	201-218
alpine. See <i>Abies lasiocarpa</i> .		Hybridization, influence of, on the water re-	
Douglas. See <i>Pseudotsuga lasiocarpa</i> .		quirement of plants.....	391-402
Flagellate, development of, in culture solu-		Hydrocyanic-acid content in <i>Sorghum vul-</i>	
tions.....	520-526, 528-531, 534-540, 544-555, 554-556	<i>gare</i>	179-183
Formalin, germicidal efficiency of.....	84-85, 89-90	Hydrolysis of kelp.....	50
Fruit-rot of <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>	1-20	Influence of Hybridization and Cross-Pollina-	
Further studies of the Embryology of <i>Toxop-</i>		tion on the Water Requirement of Plants	
<i>tera Graminum</i> (paper).....	403-404	(paper).....	391-402
Genus, new.....	591	Influence of Soil Moisture upon the Rate of	
Gericke, W. F., and Lipman, C. B. (paper)		Increase in Sugar-Beet Root-Louse Colonies	
Antagonism between Anions as Affecting		(paper).....	247-250
Barley Yields on a Clay-Adobe Soil.....	201-218	Inheritance of Certain Characters of Grapes	
Germination, potato-scab organism, effect		(paper).....	315-330
of temperature on.....	129-134	Insect, agency in dissemination of <i>Phoma</i>	
Gilbert, A. W., Heredity of Color in <i>Phlox</i>		<i>betae</i>	173-174
<i>Drummondii</i> (paper).....	293-302	Iodin, forms in kelp.....	52
Gipsy moth caterpillar. See <i>Porthetria dispar</i> .		<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes shen-</i>	
Glaser, R. W. (paper) Wilt of Gipsy-Moth		<i>gleri festucae</i>	263
Caterpillars.....	101-128	<i>Iris</i> spp.—	
<i>Glaucoma</i> sp., development of, in soil.....	541-542, 556	forms of nitrogen in.....	46
<i>Gloeospora crinita</i> , sources of infection of.....	59-64	hydrolysis of.....	50
Glover, W. G. (paper) <i>Ascochyta Clemat-</i>		organic constituents of.....	39-56
<i>dina</i> , the Cause of Stem-Rot and Leaf-		sulphur in.....	51
Spot of Clematis.....	333-342	Irrigation water, agency in dissemination of	
Grain—		<i>Phoma betae</i>	173-174
digestibility of.....	418-421	Jamieson, C. O. (paper) <i>Phoma</i> Destructiva,	
yields of, relation to antagonism of anions.....	213	the Cause of a Fruit-Rot of the Tomato... 1-20	
Grape—		Junon weed. See <i>Datura fabula</i> .	
inheritance of certain characters of.....	315-330	Jobo. See <i>Spondias lutea</i> .	
See also <i>Vitis</i> spp.			

	Page		Page
Johnston, F. A., Asparagus-Beetle Egg Parasite (paper).....	303-314	<i>Macrocystis pyrifera</i> —Continued.	
Karmaker, P. E., (paper) Effect on Soil Moisture of Changes in the Surface Tension of the Soil Solution Brought About by the Addition of Soluble Salts.....	187-193	availability of nitrogen in.....	21-38
Keith, M. H., et al. (paper) Phosphorus Metabolism of Lambs Fed a Ration of Alfalfa Hay, Corn, and Linseed Meal.....	459-473	composition of ash of.....	24
Kelly, E. O. G. (paper) A New Wheat Thrips.....	219-224	distillation of.....	55
Kelp—		effect of, on ammonification and nitrification of dried blood.....	59-63
algin in.....	47-50	forms of nitrogen in.....	46
carbohydrates in.....	47-50	hydrolysis of.....	50
cellulose in.....	50	nitrification of.....	34-35
distillation of.....	54-56	comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-26
feeding value of.....	53-54	organic constituents of.....	39-56
forms of sulphur in.....	51	sulphur in.....	51
hydrolysis of.....	50	<i>Macrosiphum</i> sp., occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	163
nitrogen in.....	46	Maise. See <i>Zea mays</i>	
iodin in.....	53	<i>Malus</i> spp., bitter-rot of.....	59-64
Pacific coast, availability of nitrogen in.....	21-28	<i>Mangifera indica</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes spengleri spengleri</i>	201
organic constituents of.....	39-58	Mango. See <i>Mangifera indica</i>	
utilization of by-products of.....	54	McKay, M. B., and Fool, V. W. (paper) Phoma Betae on the Leaves of the Sugar Beet.....	169-178
See also <i>Macrocystis</i> , <i>Nereocystis</i> , <i>Pelagophyllum</i> , <i>Elegia</i> , <i>Iridaea</i> , <i>Laminaria</i> .		Medium, culture, use of, in bacterial analyses of air.....	163
Koch, G. P. (paper) Soil Protozoa.....	511-560	Metabolism, phosphorus, of lambs.....	459-473
Kunkel, L. O. (paper) A Contribution to the Life History of <i>Spongopora Subterranea</i>	265-278	Methods of Bacterial Analyses of Air (paper).....	343-358
<i>Lactuca sativa</i> , bacterial disease of.....	475-478	Mercuric chloride, germicidal efficiency of.....	68-83, 87-90
Lamb, phosphorus metabolism of.....	459-473	Milk—	
Lamb's-quarters. See <i>Chenopodium album</i> .		fat of, influence of <i>Opuntia</i> spp. on.....	422-443
<i>Laminaria andersonii</i> —		influence of <i>Opuntia</i> spp. on flavor of.....	423-444
forms of nitrogen in.....	46	production of, effect of different feeds on.....	417, 435-437
hydrolysis of.....	50	Miller, R. E., and Yaffman, W. D. (paper) Tensile Strength and Elasticity of Wool.....	379-390
organic constituents of.....	39-56	<i>Mimosa</i> spp., food plants of <i>Diaprepes spengleri spengleri</i>	201
sulphur in.....	51	Mistletoe, leafless, parasite of.....	369-378
Larix—		Moisture—	
<i>europaea</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93	effect of, on growth of soil protozoa.....	519-525
<i>occidentalis</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93-94	soil—	
Leaf-spot, control of, on <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	335-340	effect on, of changes in the surface tension of the soil solution brought about by the addition of soluble salts.....	187-192
Lettuce—		influence upon rate of increase in sugar-beet root-louse colonies.....	247-250
bacterial disease of.....	475-478	<i>Mones</i> —	
See also <i>Lactuca sativa</i> .		<i>guttula</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
Lime. See <i>Citrus medica acida</i> .		<i>trichospora</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
Linseed meal—		<i>Mucor</i> sp., occurrence on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	163
chemical composition of.....	463	Mummy, apple, source of infection of bitter-rot.....	60-64
phosphorus content of.....	465, 468	<i>Musa sapientum</i> , root disease of.....	561-568
protein content of.....	468	<i>Nassula elegans</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
Lipman, C. B., and Gerick, W. F. (paper) Antagonism between Anous as Affecting Barley Yields on a Clay-Adobe Soil.....	201-218	Nasturtium Wilt Caused by Bacterium <i>Solanacearum</i> , A (paper).....	451-458
Louse, root, sugar-beet, influence of soil moisture upon increase of.....	241-250	Nasturtium. See <i>Trapaecium majus</i>	Page
See also <i>Pemphigus betae</i> .		<i>Neobekia caulleryi</i> , comparison with <i>Herpotrichia nigra</i>	251
<i>Lyopercicon esculentum</i> —		<i>Nereocystis luetkeana</i> —	
fruit-rot of, caused by <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	1-20	ammonification of, comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-26
host plant of <i>Bacterium solanacearum</i>	451	availability of nitrogen in.....	21-38
inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	2-10, 12	composition of ash of.....	24
<i>Macrocystis pyrifera</i> —			
ammonification of.....	24-25		
comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-29		

	Page		Page
<i>Nereocystis luetkeana</i> —Continued.		<i>Opuntia</i> —Continued.	
effect of, on ammonification and nitrification of dried blood.....	39-33	spp.—Continued.	
forms of nitrogen in.....	46	energy value of.....	413-414
hydrolysis of.....	59	influence of—	
nitrification of, comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-26	on digestibility of other feeds.....	420-421
organic constituents of.....	39-56	on flavor of milk.....	423-424
sulphur in.....	51	on milk fat.....	422-423
New Leaf and Twig Disease of <i>Picea Engelmannii</i> , A (paper).....	251-254	on quality of butter.....	423-424
New Wheat Thrips, A (paper).....	219-224	laxative property of, effect of sodium chlorid upon.....	427
<i>Nicotiana</i> spp., host plant of <i>Bacterium solanacearum</i>	459	method of harvesting.....	430-431
Nitrogen—		nutrients of—	
available, effect of, on hydrocyanic-acid content of <i>sorghum vulgare</i>	180-184	consumed by dairy cows.....	440-442
forms of, in kelp.....	46	digested by dairy cows.....	413-443-444
in Pacific coast kelps, availability of.....	21-38	nutritive value of.....	416-417
North, effect of, on milk yield of dairy cows fed with <i>Opuntia</i> spp.....	428-429	use of, as maintenance ration of dry cows.....	439
Notes on the Hydrocyanic-Acid Content of <i>Sorghum</i> (paper).....	179-185	Orange. See <i>Citrus aurantifolia</i> .	
<i>Nannularia diuretica</i> , source of infection of apple blight-rot.....	61-64	Organic Constituents of Pacific Coast Kelps (paper).....	39-58
Nutrient—		Overwintering, means of control of <i>Phoma betae</i> on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	173-176
amount of—		Ozarks, occurrence of apple blight-rot in.....	59-61
consumed by dairy cows on different rations.....	440-447	<i>Panicum crus-galli</i> , food plant of <i>Protoparce cognatus</i>	221
digested by dairy cows on different rations.....	413-443-444	<i>Paramecium</i> spp., development of, in soil.....	527, 536
digestibility of, in metabolism test of lambs.....	452-454	Parasite, asparagus-beetle egg. See <i>Tetrastichus asparagi</i> .	
Oak. See <i>Quercus</i> spp.		Parents, Shropshire sheep, degree of resemblance to offspring with respect to birth as twins.....	479-510
Oats. See <i>Avena sativa</i> .		Parker, J. R. (paper) Influence of Soil Moisture upon the Rate of Increase in Sugar-Beet Root-Louse Colonies.....	241-250
Observations on <i>Rhizina inflata</i> (paper).....	93-96	<i>Parthenium</i> spp., food plant of <i>Diaprepes spengleri</i>	269-261
Offspring—		Pea, garden. See <i>Pisum sativum</i> .	
dairy cow, effect of <i>Opuntia</i> spp. on.....	426-427	<i>Pelagophycus porra</i> —	
Shropshire sheep, degree of resemblance to parents with respect to birth as twins.....	479-510	ammonification of, comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-26
<i>Oospira scabies</i> , syn. <i>Actinomyces chromogenus</i>		availability of nitrogen in.....	21-38
<i>Opuntia</i> —		composition of ash of.....	24
<i>opuntella</i> , use of, in feeding experiments with dairy cows.....	406-407	forms of nitrogen in.....	46
<i>opuntia</i> , use of, in feeding experiments with dairy cows.....	406-407	hydrolysis of.....	59
spp.—		nitrification of, comparison with dried blood and cottonseed meal.....	23-26
analysis of.....	439-440	organic constituents of.....	39-56
comparative value of spiny and spineless varieties of.....	431-432	sulphur in.....	51
cost of harvesting.....	430-431	<i>Pemphigus betae</i> —	
digestibility of.....	418-421	control of.....	450
comparison with other feeds.....	445-450	influence of soil moisture upon increase of.....	251-259
effect of—		Pepper. See <i>Capiscum annuum</i> .	
on body weight of dairy cows.....	411-412, 437-439	<i>Peranema trichophorum</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
on health of dairy cows.....	426-427	<i>Persea gratissima</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes spengleri</i>	261-262
on milk production.....	411-435-437	<i>Phaeosclerium vulgare</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	12
on offspring of dairy cows.....	426-427	Phenol, germicidal efficiency of.....	68, 89-90
on quantity of water drunk by dairy cows.....	428	Phillips, W. J. (paper) Further Studies of the Embryology of Toxoptera Graminum.....	423-494
northern on milk yield of cows fed with.....	428-429	<i>Phlox drummondii</i> —	
		cross-pollination of.....	296-301
		heredity of color in.....	293-302

Phoma—	Page	Phomopsis—	Page
<i>betae</i> —		<i>Pinus</i> —	
causal organism of field-rot of <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	144-145	<i>comorta</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	94
causal organism of storage-rot of <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	144-145-165	<i>divaricata</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
control of.....	148-154, 174-177	<i>maritima</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
dissemination of.....	173-174	<i>monticola</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93-94
identity of, with <i>Phyllosticta betae</i>	140-141	<i>pinaster</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
inoculation of <i>Beta vulgaris</i> with.....	143-147	<i>ponderosa</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	94
isolation of, from <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	138	spp., host plants of <i>Neopeckia coarctata</i>	251
morphology of.....	141-143	<i>strobis</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
perpetuation of.....	147-148	<i>sylvestris</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93
relation of age of leaves of <i>Beta vulgaris</i> to infection by.....	170-173	<i>Pinum salinum</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	12
relation of, to <i>Phyllosticta betae</i>	170-173	Plant—	
source of infection of.....	141	chloroplasts of.....	295
symptomatology of.....	169-170	chromoplasts of.....	295
taxonomy of.....	139-140	nature of color of.....	294-296
vitality of.....	143	plastid color substances of.....	295
<i>destructiva</i> —		water requirement of, influence of hybridization and cross pollination on.....	391-402
character of spotting produced by.....	13	<i>Poa pratensis</i> , hibernation of <i>Protophthora cognata</i> in.....	223
cultural characteristics of.....	14-16	Pool, V. W., and McKay, M. B. (paper) <i>Phoma Betae</i> on the Leaves of the Sugar Beet.....	169-178
description of.....	1-2, 13-14, 19	<i>Populus</i> —	
distribution of.....	17, 19	<i>angustifolia</i> , source of infestation of <i>Pemphigus betae</i>	249
inoculation of <i>Beta vulgaris</i> with.....	11	<i>batanifera</i> , source of infestation of <i>Pemphigus betae</i>	249
inoculation of <i>Datura tatula</i> with.....	12	spp., source of infestation of <i>Pemphigus betae</i>	243, 246, 249
inoculation of <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> with.....	7-10, 12	<i>Penthetria dispar</i> —	
inoculation of <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> with.....	10-11	distribution of wilt of.....	102-104
mycelial growth of.....	13	epidemiology of wilt of.....	102-104
pycnidial development of.....	13-14	etiology of wilt of.....	115-120
pycnospores of.....	14	pathology of blood of.....	109-112
relation of temperature to growth of.....	27	pathology of tissues of.....	109-112, 114
taxonomy of.....	18-19	pathology of wilt of.....	102-104
vitality of.....	16-17	relation of climate to wilt of.....	102-104
<i>Phoma Betae</i> on the Leaves of the Sugar Beet (paper).....	169-178	<i>Potato</i> —	
<i>Phoma Destructiva</i> , the Cause of a Fruit-Rot of the Tomato (paper).....	1-20	See <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> .	
<i>Phosphorus</i> —		sweet. See <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> .	
amount of, ingested by lambs.....	465-467	<i>Potato-scab</i> organism, effect of temperature on germination and growth of.....	129-133
comparison of, with protein in feed.....	468	Prickly-pear. See <i>Opuntia</i> .	
daily balance of, in lambs.....	472	Prickly-Pears as a Feed for Dairy Cows (paper).....	403-410
in feces of lambs.....	468-472	<i>Prodoctonus</i> , development of, in soil.....	541-543, 546
methods of analysis of.....	467-468	<i>Protophthora cognata</i> —	
<i>Phosphorus Metabolism of Lambs Fed a Ration of Alfalfa Hay, Corn, and Linseed Meal</i> (paper).....	459-470	control of.....	243
<i>Phyllosticta empetrifomis</i> , host plant of <i>Heterotrichia nigra</i>	252	description of.....	219-220
<i>Phyllosticta</i> —		distribution of.....	219
<i>betae</i> —		enemies of.....	213
identity of, with <i>Phoma betae</i>	140-141	food plants of.....	250-251
relation of age of leaves of <i>Beta vulgaris</i> to infection by.....	170-173	generations of.....	220
relation of, to <i>Phoma betae</i>	170-173	hibernation of.....	222-223
<i>Lycopersich</i> , syn. <i>Phoma destructiva</i> .		injury to <i>Triticum-squ</i> by.....	221-222
<i>solanaria</i> , source of infection of apple bitter-rot.....	67-68	life history of.....	219-220
<i>Pica</i> —		Protein—	
<i>englemanni</i> —		comparison of, with phosphorus in feed.....	468
host plant of <i>Heterotrichia quinqueangulata</i>	252	relation of, to gain in weight of lambs.....	462
new leaf and twig disease of.....	251-254	<i>Pectora</i> —	
<i>rubra</i> , host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93	development of—	
Pierce, W. D. (paper) <i>Some Sugar-Cane Root-Boring Weevils of the West Indies</i>	235-264	from field soils.....	531-532
		from greenhouse soils.....	516-524
		soil.....	511-560

Protosol—Continued.	Page	Rhizoctonia sp.—	Page
soil—Continued.		causal organism of diseases of <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	151-155
development of—		conditions influencing infection by.....	155-159
from roupest soils.....	527	description of.....	153
in culture solutions.....	517-554	distribution of.....	154-155
effect of temperature on development		inoculation of <i>Beta vulgaris</i> with.....	155-154
of.....	542-557	<i>Rhizopus nigricans</i> , causal organism of root	
method for counting.....	517-516	sickness of <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	163-164
<i>Pseudomonas citri</i> , description of.....	97-100	<i>Ricinus communis</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i>	
<i>Pseudomonas citri</i> , the Cause of Citrus Conker		<i>spengleri</i>	262
(paper).....	97-100	Rietz, H. L., and Roberts, E. (paper), De-	
<i>Pseudotsuga</i> —		gree of Resemblance of Parents and Off-	
douglasii, host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93	spring with Respect to Birth as Twins for	
distillation of, comparison with <i>Macro-</i>		Registered Shropshire Sheep.....	479-510
<i>cytis pyrifera</i>	55	Ripening season, effect of heredity on, in <i>Vitis</i>	
host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	94	<i>sp.</i>	326-327
<i>Psidium guajava</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i>		Roberts, E., and Rietz, H. L. (paper) De-	
<i>spengleri</i>	261	gree of Resemblance of Parents and Off-	
<i>Puccinia graminis</i> —		spring with Respect to Birth as Twins for	
<i>avenae</i> , inoculation of.....	194	Registered Shropshire Sheep.....	479-510
growth of, in host plants.....	195-198	Roberts, J. W. (paper) Sources of the Early	
histology of hyphal invasion of.....	195-198	Infections of Apple Bitter-Rot.....	59-64
<i>hordei</i> , inoculation of.....	194	Root, production of, relation to antagonism	
hyphae of.....	195-198	between anions.....	211
immunity of certain plants to.....	193-196	Root louse, sugar-beet, influence of soil mois-	
resistance of certain plants to.....	193-198	ture upon increase of.....	241-250
<i>tritici</i> , inoculation of.....	194	See also <i>Pemphigus betae</i> .	
<i>Pythium debaryanum</i> —		Root-rot, relation of, to seedling diseases of	
causal organism of damping-off of <i>Beta</i>		<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	153-158
<i>vulgaris</i>	155-161, 165	Root sickness—	
resemblance of, to <i>Rheosporangium aphan-</i>		description of.....	153-156
<i>dermatum</i>	279	occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	147-152, 154, 163-164
Quality, inheritance of, in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	293-324	<i>Rosa</i> spp., food plants of <i>Diaprepes</i>	
<i>Quercus</i> spp., distillation of, comparison with		<i>spengleri</i>	261
<i>Macrocystis pyrifera</i>	55	Ross, E. L., Keith, M. H., and Grindley, H.	
Radish. See <i>Raphanus sativus</i> .		S. (paper) Phosphorus Metabolism of Lambs	
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> , host plant of <i>Rheosporan-</i>		Fed a Ration of Alfalfa Hay, Corn, and Lin-	
<i>gium aphanidermatum</i>	279-292	seed Meal.....	459-473
<i>Racumofskyae</i> —		Rot—	
<i>americana</i> , host plant of <i>Waltrothiella arceu-</i>		bitter, of apple, sources of early infections of	59-64
<i>thobii</i>	372	crown, relation of, to seedling diseases of	
<i>douglasii</i> , host plant of <i>Waltrothiella arceu-</i>		<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	153-168
<i>thobii</i>	372	dry, of <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> , caused by	
<i>pusilla</i> , host plant of <i>Waltrothiella arceu-</i>		<i>Spongopora subterranea</i>	279-293
<i>thobii</i>	370-372	field, occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	144-145
Relation between <i>Puccinia graminis</i> and		fruit, of <i>Lysochryson rotundatum</i>	120-120
Plants Highly Resistant to Its Attack		root, relation of, to seedling diseases of	
(paper).....	193-200	<i>Beta vulgaris</i>	153-158
<i>Rheosporangium</i> —		storage, occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	142-145
description of.....	294	Ruehle, C. L. A. (paper) Methods of Bacterial	
<i>aphanidermatum</i> —		Analyses of Air.....	343-358
description of.....	291	Rye. See <i>Secale cereale</i> .	
life history of.....	279-283	<i>Saccharum officinarum</i> —	
morphology of.....	279-289	food plant of <i>Diaprepes</i> spp.....	255-264
resemblance to <i>Pythium debaryanum</i>	279	root disease of.....	561-568
taxonomy of.....	280-291	Salts—	
<i>Rheosporangium aphanidermatum</i> , a New		effect of—	
Genus and Species of Fungus Parasitic on		on physical properties of soil.....	191-192
Sugar Beets and Radishes (paper).....	279-292	on physical properties of soil solutions.....	187
<i>Rhizina</i> —		fertilizer, effect of, on moisture content of	
<i>inflata</i> —		soils.....	189-191
host plants of.....	93-94	relation of—	
observations on.....	93-96	to growth of plants.....	215
parasitism of.....	93-94	to nitrification of soil.....	213-215
<i>undulata</i> , syn. <i>Rhizina inflata</i> .		toxicity of, for <i>Hordenium</i> spp.....	203, 214-216
		Sap, cell, color substance of.....	295-296

	Page		Page
Scab, potato, effect of temperature on germination and growth of.....	129-134	Soil Protozoa (paper).....	311-350
Schattenfroch, A., method of disinfecting hides.....	65-67, 87-89, 90-91	<i>Solanum</i> —	
<i>Secale cereale</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Puccinia graminis</i>	194	<i>molongana</i> , inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	10
Seed, relation of, to infection by <i>Phoma betae</i>	141	<i>tuberosum</i> —	
Seedling Diseases of Sugar Beets and Their Relation to Root-Rot and Crown-Rot (paper).....	135-168	host plant of <i>Spongophora subterranea</i>	265
Self-sterility, in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	318-319	infection of, by plasmodium of <i>Spongophora subterranea</i>	266-277
Sex, inheritance in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	320	inoculation of, with <i>Phoma destructiva</i>	10-11
Seymour-Jones, A., method of disinfecting hides.....	65-67, 87-89, 90-91	Some Sugar Cane Root-Boring Weevils of the West Indies (paper).....	255-264
Shantz, H. L., and Briggs, L. J. (paper) Influence of Hybridization and Cross-Pollination on the Water Requirement of Plants.....	351-402	<i>Sorghum</i> —	
Shapovalov, M. (paper) Effect of Temperature on Germination and Growth of the Common Potato-Scab Organism.....	129-134	hay—	
Sheep, Skrophire—		analysis of.....	439-440
degree of resemblance of parents and offspring with respect to birth as twins for.....	479-510	digestibility of.....	413-421
Skin, color of, inheritance in <i>Vitis</i> spp.....	318-323	effect of—	
Soap agar, toxic effect of, on <i>Atschyia dematioides</i>	338-339	on body weight of dairy cows.....	411-412, 437-438
Soap and sulphur, mixture of, effect on leaf-spot and stem-rot of <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	336-337	on milk production.....	417, 435
Sodium—		energy value of.....	414
carbonate—		influence of, on milk fat.....	422-423
and sodium chlorid, antagonism between.....	206-207	nutrients of—	
and sodium sulphate, antagonism between.....	207-208	consumed by dairy cows.....	419-442
effect of, on soil.....	213	digested by dairy cows.....	413, 443-444
toxicity of, for <i>Hordeum</i> spp.....	203-215	nutritive value of.....	416-417
chlorid—		silage—	
and sodium carbonate, antagonism between.....	206-207	effect of—	
and sodium sulphate, antagonism between.....	204-206	on body weights of dairy cows.....	412, 438
effect of, on laxative property of <i>Opuntia</i> spp.....	427	on milk production.....	417, 436
germinical efficiency of.....	24-28, 83-89	energy value of.....	414
toxicity of, for <i>Hordeum</i> spp.....	203, 214-216	influence of, on milk fat.....	422-423
sulphate—		nutrients of, digested by dairy cows.....	413, 443-444
and calcium sulphate, antagonism between.....	208-211	nutritive value of.....	416-417
and sodium carbonate, antagonism between.....	207-208	<i>Sorghum vulgare</i> —	
and sodium chlorid, antagonism between.....	204-206	effect of available nitrogen on hydrocyanic acid content of.....	180-184
toxicity of, for <i>Hordeum</i> spp.....	203, 214-216	hydrocyanic acid content of.....	179-185
sulphid, germinical efficiency of.....	26-7	Sorgo, Orange. See <i>Sorghum vulgare</i> .	
Soil—		Sources of the Early Infections of Apple Bitter-Rot (paper).....	57-64
effect of soluble salts on.....	187-192	Species, new.....	59-252, 291, 477
neutralization of alkali in.....	213	<i>Sphaeria arcuthobii</i> , syn. <i>Waltheriella arcuthobii</i>	359
relation of salts to nitrification of.....	213-215	<i>Spondias fulca</i> , food plant of <i>Diaprepes spencerei</i>	260-261
relation of, to infection—		<i>Spongophora subterranea</i> —	
by <i>Rhizoglyphus</i> sp.....	156-159	infection of <i>Solanum tuberosum</i> by plasmodium of.....	266-277
by <i>Phoma betae</i>	141	life history of.....	261-272
Soil moisture—		production of plasmodium in spores of.....	275-277
effect on, of changes in the surface tension of the soil solution brought about by the addition of soluble salts.....	187-192	spore germination of.....	274-275
influence of, upon rate of increase in sugar-beet root-louse colonies.....	241-250	Spore, anthrax, disinfection of hides infected with.....	65-72
		Spraying, effect of, on leaf-spot and stem-rot of <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	336-340
		Stakman, E. C. (paper) Relation between <i>Puccinia Graminis</i> and Plants Highly Resistant to Its Attack.....	193-200
		Stem-rot, control of, on <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	335-340
		Stewart, G. R. (paper) Availability of the Nitrogen in Pacific Coast Kelps.....	21-28
		Storage-rot, occurrence of, on <i>Beta vulgaris</i>	144-145
		Sugar beet—	
		See <i>Beta vulgaris</i> .	
		root louse. See <i>Pemphigus betae</i> .	
		Sugar cane—	
		root-boring weevils of the West Indies... ..	255-264
		See <i>Saccharum officinarum</i> .	

	Page		Page
Sulphur—		Twins, Shropshire sheep, resemblance of	
forms of, in kelp.....	51-52	parents and offspring of.....	479-570
injurious effect of, on leaf-spot and stem-rot		<i>Tylenchus</i> —	
of <i>Clematis paniculata</i>	337-338	<i>devastatrix</i> , syn. <i>T. dipsaci</i>	568
Sweet-potato. See <i>Ipomoea batatas</i> .		<i>dipsaci</i> , comparison of, with <i>T. similis</i>	568
<i>Syntherisma sanguinalis</i> , food plant of <i>Pro-</i>		<i>similis</i> —	
<i>topothrips cognatus</i>	221	comparison of, with <i>T. dipsaci</i>	568
Tallman, W. D., and Miller, R. F. (paper)		description of.....	567-567
Tensile Strength and Elasticity of Wool.....	379-390	distribution of.....	567-567, 567
Tanning, effect of disinfection of hides upon.....	90-91	<i>Tylenchus Similis</i> , the Cause of a Root Dis-	
Temperature—		ease of Sugar Cane and Banana (paper).....	567-568
effect of—		Urine, lamb—	
on development of soil protozoa.....	542-557	analysis of.....	463-464
on germination and growth of potato-		phosphorus content of.....	468-472
scab organism.....	129-134	<i>Urolaphus musculus</i> , development of, in soil.....	527
relation of, to infection by <i>Rhizoctonia</i> sp.....	159	Variety, new.....	263
Tensile Strength and Elasticity of Wool		<i>Verbena</i> spp., host plants of <i>Bacterium tola-</i>	
(paper).....	379-390	<i>carum</i>	452-453
Tessite. See <i>Euchlaena mexicana</i> .		Vitis—	
<i>Tetrastichus</i> —		spp.—	
<i>asparagi</i> —		cross-pollination of.....	315-330
comparison of, with <i>T. hyalomae</i>	304	effect of heredity on season of ripening of.....	326-327
description of.....	304	inheritance in form of berry of.....	325-326
distribution of.....	305	inheritance of color of skin of.....	326-327
feeding habits of.....	305	inheritance of quality in.....	327-328
importance of.....	311-312	inheritance of sex in.....	330
life history of.....	305-312	inheritance of size of berry of.....	335
parasite of <i>Crioceris asparagi</i>	303-314	new varieties of.....	327
<i>hyalomae</i> , comparison of, with <i>T. asparagi</i>	304	self-sterility of.....	328-329
Thrips, wheat, a new.....	215-224	inbreeding, use of, in breeding experiments.....	325-327
See also <i>Protophthrips cognatus</i> .		<i>Vorticella</i> spp., development of, in soil.....	541-546
Tilley, F. W. (paper) A Bacteriological Study		Walrothiella <i>Arceuthobii</i> (paper).....	359-378
of Methods for the Disinfection of Hides		<i>Walrothiella arceuthobii</i> —	
Infected with Anthrax Spores.....	65-92	biology of.....	374-376
Tissue, gipsy-moth caterpillar, pathology of.....	109-114	distribution of.....	370-372
Tobacco. See <i>Nicotiana</i> spp.		ecology of.....	376-377
Tomato. See <i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> .		host plants of.....	370-373
<i>Toxoptera graminum</i> , embryology of.....	402-404	morphology of.....	373-374
<i>Trinema anchalis</i> , development of, in soil.....	527	relation of, to taxonomy of its host plants.....	372-373
<i>Triphleps insidiosus</i> , parasite of <i>Protophthrips</i>		Water—	
<i>cognatus</i>	223	irrigation, agency in dissemination of	
<i>Triphacium dactyloides</i> , hibernation of <i>Pro-</i>		<i>Phoma latas</i>	173-174
<i>topothrips cognatus</i> in.....	213	requirement of plants, influence of hybridi-	
<i>Trilium</i> —		zation and cross-pollination on.....	301-402
<i>astivum</i> , water requirement of.....	400	Weed, Jimson. See <i>Datura tatula</i> .	
<i>durum</i> , water requirement of.....	399-400	Weevil, root-boring, occurrence of, on <i>Soc-</i>	
<i>vulgare</i> , hibernation of <i>Protophthrips cognatus</i>		<i>charum officinarum</i> in West Indies.....	255-264
in.....	232-223	Weir, J. R. (paper)—	
spp.—		A New Leaf and Twig Disease of Picea	
comparison of water requirement of hy-		Engelmanni.....	241-254
brid and parents of.....	399-400	Observations on <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	51-56
food plant of <i>Protophthrips cognatus</i>	220-221	<i>Walrothiella Arceuthobii</i>	359-378
injury to, by <i>Protophthrips cognatus</i>	221-222	West, R. M., and William, J. J. (paper)	
inoculation of, with <i>Puccinia graminis</i>	194	Notes on the Hydrocyanic-Acid Content of	
<i>Tropaeolum majus</i> —		Sorghum.....	179-185
effect of <i>Bacterium solanacearum</i> on tissues		West Indies, sugar-cane root-boring weevils	
of.....	453-459	of.....	253-264
susceptibility of, to infection by <i>Bacterium</i>		Wheat—	
<i>solanacearum</i>	454-455	bran—	
<i>Trapa</i> —		analysis of.....	439-440
heterophylla, host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93-94	energy value of.....	413-414
menteniana, host plant of <i>Rhizina inflata</i>	93	nutrients of, consumed by dairy cows.....	440-442
Turner, W. F., et al. (paper) Prickly-Pears as		See <i>Triticum</i> spp.	
a Feed for Dairy Cows.....	405-410	Thrips, new.....	219-224

	Page		Page
Willaman, J. J., and West, R. M. (paper)		Wool—	
Notes on the Hydrocyanic-Acid Content of		fiber—	
<i>Sorghum</i>	179-185	breaking stress of.....	382-384, 390
Wilt—		elastic limit of.....	382-384, 390
gipsy-moth caterpillar—		tensile strength of.....	381-382
distribution of.....	102-104	testing apparatus, description of.....	384-385
epidemiology of.....	102-104	tensile strength and elasticity of.....	379-390
etiology of.....	115-126		
pathology of.....	104-115	<i>Zea mays</i> —	
relation of climate to.....	103-104	comparison of dry matter produced by	
nasturtium, caused by <i>Bacterium melano-</i>		hybrid and parents of.....	393
<i>carum</i>	451-455	comparison of water requirement of hybrid	
Wilt of Gipsy-Moth Caterpillars (paper).....	104-126	and parents of.....	392-400
Wind, agency in dissemination of <i>Phoma</i>		effect of cross-pollination on water require-	
<i>betae</i>	173-174	ment of.....	401-402
Woodward, T. E., Turner, W. F., and		effect of self-pollination on water require-	
Griffiths, D. (paper) Prickly-Pears as a		ment of.....	401-402
Feed for Dairy Cows.....	405-450	food plant of <i>Diaprepes spengleri festuosus</i> ...	463
		water requirement of.....	397-400

ADDITIONAL COPIES
OF THIS PUBLICATION MAY BE PROCURED FROM
THE SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON, D. C.
AT
25 CENTS PER COPY
SUBSCRIPTION PER YEAR, 12 NUMBERS, \$2.50

